RESEARCH ARTICLE

Multidisciplinary approach to connective tissue disease (CTD) related pleural effusions: a four-year retrospective evaluation

Hugh Ip^{*}[®], Parthipan Sivakumar, Eugene Ace McDermott, Sangita Agarwal, Boris Lams, Alex West and Liju Ahmed

Abstract

Background: CTD-related pleural effusions are rare and challenging to diagnose. Our lung inflammation service (with expertise in rheumatology, interstitial lung disease and respiratory failure) works closely with the pleural team. This study aims to review the multidisciplinary approach to CTD-related pleural effusions at a tertiary centre.

Methods: All patients with CTD-related pleural effusions at St Thomas' Hospital, London were included. Retrospective data were collected from Dec 2013 to 2016.

Results: The lung inflammation service performed an expert clinical assessment and targeted investigations. 11 patients (ages 23–77) were identified with CTD related pleural disease. 9 (82%) patients were given a new CTD diagnosis, with pleural disease as the first manifestation. The range of conditions were: rheumatoid arthritis [3] ,lgG4-related disease [2] ,adult Still's disease [2] ,vasculitis [1] ,SLE [1] ,drug-induced lupus [1] ,and Behcet's [1].

The pleural team review took place 1 day (median) after referral. 73% of diagnoses (8 patients) were achieved with local anaesthetic pleural interventions (a combination of: aspiration, drain, or percutaneous biopsy). This included 1 patient who required no pleural intervention. 1 required medical thoracoscopy, and 2 underwent thoracic surgery.

Diagnoses were made by integrating all available evidence such as clinical assessment, imaging, and autoimmune serology. No diagnosis was achieved by pleural cytology or histology analysis alone.

8 (73%) were commenced on prednisolone acutely (vasculitis, SLE, drug-related lupus, 1 patient with rheumatoid arthritis, Behcet's, 2 patients with Adult Still's disease, 1 patient with IgG4-related disease). Of these 8, one patient with rheumatoid arthritis received IV methylprednisolone beforehand, one patient with IgG4-related disease was weaned off prednisolone to methothrexate, two patients with Adult Still's disease were on colchicine as well, and one patient with Behcet's was on cyclophosphamide as well. 7 (64%) were managed as outpatients; 4 required admission. The median time from pleural review to diagnosis was 53 days.

Conclusions: Diagnosis can be challenging in patients presenting with pleural disease as the first manifestation of a CTD. We recommend a multidisciplinary approach in management.

Keywords: Pleural effusion, Pleura, Thoracoscopy, Connective tissue disease

* Correspondence: hughip@gmail.com

Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust, Westminster Bridge Road, London SE1 7EH, UK



© The Author(s). 2019 **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.





Background

A new pleural effusion may be caused by a wide range of conditions. The British Thoracic Society produced guidelines in 2010 recommending a systematic approach to achieve a diagnosis, aiming to streamline investigations and interventions [1]. Since then, the evidence base for managing malignant and infective effusions has developed through a series of clinical trials. In comparison, research on benign non-infective pleural effusions has been more limited [2]. A number of these are caused by connective tissue diseases (CTD).

Pleural effusions from CTD are caused by increased capillary permeability, as extravascular fluid moves from the lung's interstitium, across the mesothelium into the pleural space [3]. This may be due to a number of reasons [4], such as a pleural infiltrative process. In addition, circulating immune complexes that localise to the pleura, can activate the complement system causing endothelial injury. Enzyme and free radical release from white blood cells also accentuate the inflammatory process.

CTD-related pleural effusions are rare and challenging to diagnose. The most common CTDs to affect the pleura are rheumatoid arthritis and systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) [1]. A prospective observational cohort study over 7 years at a specialist pleural unit identified 356 nonmalignant pleural effusions. 9.8% of these were given a diagnosis of inflammatory pleuritis, and 7.6% attributed to other diagnoses (including chylothorax, rheumatic causes, trauma, and drug-induced causes) [5].

The same unit described the value of a pleural specialist team to improve the efficacy and efficiency of managing patients with pleural disease [6]. In most pleural teams, the medical specialties involved are commonly: respiratory, oncology and palliative care. However, the pleural team in our centre works closely with the lung inflammation service, with expertise in rheumatology, interstitial lung disease and respiratory failure. This study aims to review the multidisciplinary approach to CTD-related pleural effusions at a tertiary centre. To our knowledge, there is no published evidence describing a multidisciplinary approach to CTD-related pleural effusions.

Methods

Study design

This study is a retrospective evaluation of cases. We reviewed electronic hospital records, imaging, blood tests, pleural fluid analysis and pleural biopsy analysis. Data were collected relating to CTD diagnosis, pleural and surgical interventions, and CTD specific systemic therapy.

As a retrospective service evaluation, written patient informed consent and regional ethics approval was not required.

Table 1 Patient demographics

Characteristic	n (%)
Gender ($n = 11$)	
Male	8 (73)
Female	3 (27)
Age range	23–77
Median age	50
CTD diagnosis	
Rheumatoid arthritis	3 (27)
lgG4-related disease	2 (18)
Adult Still's disease	2 (18)
Vasculitis	1 (9)
SLE	1 (9)
Drug-induced lupus	1 (9)
Behcet's	1 (9)

Participants

All patients diagnosed with CTD-related pleural effusions at St Thomas' Hospital, London were included. We included patients referred to pleural clinic between November 2012 and 2016. These patients are usually referred by the general medical or rheumatology teams for a specialist pleural opinion when the etiology of the pleural effusion is unclear, or where there is an acute clinical concern. We excluded patients with a CTD attending pleural clinic with a pleural effusion due to other (non-CTD related) etiologies.

Interventions

Pleural aspirations, drains, biopsies and medical thoracoscopies were performed in the pleural clinic at St Thomas' Hospital in accordance with national guidelines [7, 8]. Out of hours, aspirations and drains were performed by the radiology department.

Assessments

Statistical analysis was carried out using Microsoft Excel. Descriptive statistics were used to evaluable the service,

Table 2	Pleural/surgical	interventions
---------	------------------	---------------

Pleural intervention	n (%)
None	1 (9)
Pleural aspiration only	3 (27)
Chest drain only	2 (18)
Pleural aspiration and chest drain	1 (9)
Pleural aspiration and biopsy	1 (9)
Pleural aspiration and biopsy, drain and VATS	1 (9)
Pleural aspiration and medical thoracoscopy	1 (9)
VATS, thoracotomy, pericardiectomy	1 (9)

	e	Resolution of pleural effusions on CXR by week 7 on weaning prednisolone (after pulsed methylprednisolone)	Resolution of pleural effusions 5 months after pleural review (one prior week of prednisolone)	Pleural effusion stable 1 year after discharge from pleural clinic (during which 5 mg predrisolone daily started for joint pains)	Pleural effusion improved over 2 months (prednisolone started then weaned off onto methotrexate)	Left pleural effusion resolved and small right pleural effusion 1 year after
	Outcome	Resoluti effusion week 7 pulsed methyli methyli		Pleural stable dischar pleural which predni started		Left p resolv right _f effusic
	CT chest findings	Bilateral hilar lymphadenopathy. Left- sided pleural effusion and bibasal groundglass change with intralobular septal thickening demonstrated.	Pericardial hyperattenuation, either in keeping with thickening and low-grade enhancement or a complex pericardial effusion. Shallow left pleural effusion.	Moderate right pleural effusion. A calcified granuloma is noted in the right lower lobe. Minor right subpleural nodularity is seen. Emphysema.	Right pleural effusion and ascites. Cervical and mediastinal lymphadenopathy. Pericardial calcification and thickening suggest previous pericarditis.	Small bilateral pleural effusions. No pericardial effusion although some pericardial calcification is
	Histology	Pleural biopsy: moderate acute and mild chronic inflammation, surface mesothelial denudation, macrophages and fibrin.	None	Pleural biopsy: fibrous tissue with a dense lymphoplasmacytic infiltrate. Suggestion of histiocyte pallsading; hossibility of rheumatoid nodule, but insufficient for definitive diagnosis.	Pericardial biopsy: dense keloid-like fibrosis. Foci of chronic inflammation and in these IgG4 plasma cells comprise a high population of IgG+ plasma cells.	Pericardial biopsy: Diffuse moderate to severe fibrosis associated with focal calcification and mild
	Pleural fluid cytology	2/3/16 Neutrophils, lymphocytes, macrophages, prominent prominent eosinophils. Few reactive mesothelial 23/3/16 Mixed Jymphoid cell population; plasma cells and cells. cells. cells.	Aone	Lymphocytes and macrophages and scattered histiocytic multi-nucleate giant cells	A few benign mesothelial cells (Pleural biopsy: mildly inflamed mildly inflamed Single non- necrotising granuloma.)	Mesothelial cells and mixed inflammatory cells
	Pleural fluid appearance	Turbid yellow fluid	n/a	Turbid yellow fluid	Bloodstained fluid	Slightly cloudy yellow fluid
	Pleural micro	Fluid MCS/AFB neg neg neg	n/a	Fluid MCS/AFB neg Biopsy AFB neg	Fluid MCS/AFB neg Biopsy AFB/ MCS neg	Fluid Scanty growth Staphylococcus aureus, AFB
	Pleural fluid protein (g/L)	55	None	5.5	None	Q
	Pleural fluid LDH (IU/L)	794	None	5947	None	27
	Pleural fluid glucose (mmol/ L)	3.4	None	0	None	None
Table 3 Key clinical information of patients	Evidence for diagnosis*	Clinical assessment, autoimmune serology	Previous CTD diagnosis, clinical assessment, autoimmune serology	Previous CTD diagnosis, clinical assessment, assessment, glucose, pleural biopsy	Pericardiectomy histology, autoimmune serology	Pericardiectomy histology, clinical assessment,
clinical informa	Presenting symptoms / Autoimmune serology	Pleuritic chest pain, dysprneaa, polyarthralgia, fatigue anti- CCP + ve CCP + ve	Fever, cough, fatigue, pleuritic chest pain, dyspnoea anti-CCP and RhF + ve	Cough, fever anti-CCP and RhF + ve	Dyspnoea, cough, oedema 1964 2.71 (0.23–1.11 g/L) 1961 11.3 (4.8–9.5 g/L)	Dyspnoea, dizziness IgG4 1.53 (0.23-1.11 g/L)
Table 3 Key	Connective tissue disease diagnosis	Rheumatoid arthritis	Rheumatoid arthritis	Rheumatoid arthritis	lgG4-related disease	lgG4-related disease

Connective tissue disease diagnosis	Presenting symptoms / Autoimmune serology	Evidence for diagnosis*	Pleural fluid glucose (mmol/ L)	Pleural fluid LDH (IU/L)	Pleural fluid protein (g/L)	Pleural micro	Pleural fluid appearance	Pleural fluid cytology	Histology	CT chest findings	Outcome
	lgG1 12.4 (4.8–9.5 g/L)	autoimmune serology				neg		(predominantly neutrophil polymorphs).	chronic inflammatory cell inflitrate. IgA shows non- specific patchy mild staining.	noted. Likely reactive mediastinal nodes.	pleural review (started on furosemide)
Adult Still's disease	Fever, cough, dyspnoea, chest pain, myalgia, sore throat, rash	Clinical assessment, raised ferritin	10.3	894	m m	Fluid MCS/AFB neg	Straw coloured fluid	Acute infimmatory cells. A few reactive mesothelial cells, histiocytes and lymphocytes.	aro	Small bilateral pleural effusions with bibasal collapse/consolidation. Moderate pericardial effusion.	Pleural effusions resolved after 1 month (weaning prednisolone and colchicine)
Adult Still's disease	Pleuritic chest pain, dyspnoea, fever, sore throat, arthralgia	Clinical assessment	None	None	34	Fluid MCS/AFB neg	Cloudy yellow fluid	Numerous neutrophils (95%) with occasional macrophages and mesothelial cells.	None	Small bilateral pleural effusions and a trace of pericardial fluid.	Pleural effusions resolved after 2 months (weaning prednisolone and colchicine)
Vasculitis	Dyspnoea, weight loss, appetite loss P-ANCA, Anti- MPO 222 (0- 10 U/ml)	Clinical assessment, autoimmune serology, CT showing adrenal infarcts	6. 0.	279	48	Fluid MCS/AFB neg	Bloodstained fluid	Mixed lymphoid cell population along with neutrophil neutrophis histiccytes and very occasional mesothelial cell.	anone	Bilateral calcified pleural plaques. Right pleural thickening and effusion. Emphysema. Multiple solid subpleural and peri-fissural bilateral nodules	Pleural effusion resolved after 5 months (4 month course of weaning prednisolone)
SLE	Pleuritic chest pain, dyspnoea Anti ds-DNA 415 (0-101U/ ml), anti-Smith +ve	Previous CTD diagnosis, clinical assessment	5. 2	304	20	Fluid MCS/AFB neg	Cloudy light brown fluid	Mesothelial cells, macrophages, neutrophils and lymphocytes.	none	None	Pleural effusion resolved after 7 months (prednisolone weaned to maintenance)
Drug-induced lupus (cabamazepine)	Dyspnoea, rash, ulceration of hands and feet ANA +ve, ENA RNP +ve, ENA SSA (R0) + ve, ENA Sm +ve ANCA neg	Clinical assessment, autoimmune serology	6.5	228	47	Fluid MCS/AFB neg Biopsy AFB/ MCS neg	Turbid orange fluid	16/11/12 Reactive mesothelial cells, polymorphs, lymphocytes and histiccytes. S/12/12 Numencuus mesothelial cells, macrophages	16/11/12 pleural biopsy: Single focus of large cells at the edge of one fragment, most likely reactive mesothelial cells. Nuclei are pleomorphic, irregular nuclear membranes and nuclear chromatin clearing. 13/1/13 pleural biopsy: Pleura markedly oedematous; granulation	Left pleural effusion with minimal nodularity and mild volume loss in the left hemithorax. Shallow pericardial effusion. Widespread mild lymphadenopathy.	Pleural effusion resolved after 9 months (prednisolone weaned to maintenance)

tissue disease diagnosis	Presenting symptoms / Autoimmune serology	Evidence for diagnosis*	Pleural fluid glucose (mmol/ L)	Pleural fluid LDH (IU/L)	Pleural fluid protein (g/L)	Pleural micro	Pleural fluid appearance	Pleural fluid cytology	Histology	CT chest findings	Outcome
								and a mixed population of lymphocytes, a few lymphocytes, a few lymphocytes and reactive mesothelial cells, lymphocytes, lymphocytes, reactive mesothelial cells, lymphocytes, lymphocytes, last ocytes, lymphocytes, last ocytes, last ocytes,	tissue at the surface, scattered foci of chronic inflammatory cells.		
Behceťs	Chest tightness	Clinical assessment	6 Ø	380	44	Fluid MCS/AFB neg	Turbid bloodstained fluid	Numerous lymphocytes, histiocytes and blood.	Done	Large right main pulmonary artery thrombus. Multiple left sided pulmonary emboli. Bilateral pleural effusions with consolidaton/ atelectasis. 2 small round pulmonary foci and a band of consolidation in the right upper lobe. Wedge- shaped consolidation in the middle and right lower lobes.	Pleural effusions resolved after 1 year (cyclophosphamide and weaning prednisolone)

and to summarise the clinical characteristics of the subjects.

Results

Eleven patients (ages 23–77) were identified with CTD related pleural disease (Table 1). They were seen by the lung inflammation service, who performed an expert clinical assessment and targeted investigations, usually after review by the pleural team. 9 (82%) patients were given a new CTD diagnosis, with pleural disease as the first manifestation. The range of conditions were: rheumatoid arthritis [3], IgG4-related disease [2], adult Still's disease [2], vasculitis [1], SLE [1], drug (carbamazepine)-induced lupus [1], and Behcet's [1].

The pleural team review took place 1 day (median) after referral. 73% of diagnoses (8 patients) were achieved with local anaesthetic pleural interventions (a combination of: aspiration, drain, or percutaneous biopsy). This included 1 patient who required no pleural intervention. 1 required medical thoracoscopy, and 2 underwent thoracic surgery (Table 2). The patient who underwent a medical thoracoscopy (for pleural thickening) after an aspiration, had a final diagnosis of a rheumatoid arthritis associated pleural effusion. One patient who underwent thoracic surgery was referred directly to the surgical team, subsequently requiring a pericardiectomy for a diagnosis of IgG4-related disease. Another patient underwent VATS to rule out a pleural malignancy, before commencing treatment for a pleural effusion related to drug-induced lupus.

Table 3 illustrates how diagnoses were made by integrating and analysing all available evidence, to include clinical assessment, imaging, and autoimmune serology. No diagnosis was achieved by pleural cytology or histology analysis alone. The pleural fluid pH was not measured for these patients (although it is available at our centre), as pleural infection was low on the list of differentials.

Eight (73%) were commenced on prednisolone acutely (vasculitis, SLE, drug-related lupus, 1 patient with rheumatoid arthritis, Behcet's, 2 patients with Adult Still's disease, 1 patient with IgG4-related disease). Of these 8, one patient with rheumatoid arthritis received IV methylprednisolone beforehand, one patient with IgG4-related disease was weaned off prednisolone to methothrexate, two patients with Adult Still's disease were on colchicine as well, and one patient with Behcet's was on cyclophosphamide as well. 7 (64%) were managed as outpatients; 4 required admission. The median time from pleural review to diagnosis was 53 days.

Discussion

In the work up for interstitial lung disease (ILD), assessment by a rheumatologist is recommended in suspected CTD [9]. The input of a rheumatologist is invaluable in providing an expert clinical review, then directing and interpreting autoimmune testing. Our centre has found this to be the case – in managing CTD-related pleural effusions. The lung inflammation service was set up to assist the critical critical care team in managing severe respiratory failure; they now work closely with the pleural service as well. To our knowledge, this is the first published evaluation of a collborative approach for inflammatory pleural disease. Case reports of CTD-related serositis do not describe a similarly coordinated approach [10–12]. Our results suggest that the value of pleural fluid and tissue analysis is to exclude common conditions such as malignancy and infection, while a multidisciplinary approach integrating all available diagnostic information is needed for complex cases such as CTD related pleuritis.

In this service evaluation, the multidisciplinary approach to CTD-related pleural effusions has demonstrated efficiency in achieving a diagnosis in a median of 53 days from the first review by the pleural team. Pleural procedures were streamlined, with 73% of diagnoses being achieved by local anaesthetic interventions. 64% of cases were managed in the outpatient setting. Published data describe the outcomes of pleural service outcomes as a whole [13, 14]. This is the first study to focus on the management of CTD-related pleural effusions.

The study was limited by the small number of cases, due to the rareity of CTD-related pleural effusions. A multi-centre collaboration to establish a larger database would facilitate advancement in best managing this complex patient cohort.

Conclusions

Diagnosis can be challenging in patients presenting with pleural disease as the first manifestation of a CTD. We recommend a multidisciplinary approach in management.

Abbreviations

CTD: connective disease disease; ILD: interstitial lung disease; SLE: systemic lupus erythematosus; VATS: video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank all the patients involved in this study. We are also indebted to the pleural team of doctors and nurses for facilitating each patient's journey in clinic.

Authors' contributions

HI contributed to study design, data collection, statistical analysis, data interpretation, clinical care and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. PS contributed to study design, data collection, statistical analysis, data interpretation, clinical care and manuscript drafting. EAM participated in data collection and manuscript drafting. SA, BL and AW contributed to data interpretation, clinical care, and manuscript drafting. LA conceived the study and contributed to clinical care, statistical analysis, data interpretation and manuscript drafting. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Authors' information

HI is a respiratory registrar, and clinical research fellow in pleural disease. PS is a respiratory registrar, post-graduate MD student and trial coordinator of the OPTIMUM trial.

EAM is a medical student.

SA is a rheumatology consultant.

BL is a respiratory consultant with specialist interests in interstitial lung disease and respiratory failure.

AW is a respiratory consultant with specialist interests in pleural disease and interstitial lung disease.

LA is a respiratory consultant with specialist interest in pleural disease, Senior Honorary Lecturer at KCL, and the Chief Investigator for OPTIMUM trial. LA has secured funding from Becton and Dikinson Ltd. for the OPTIMUM trial.

Funding

Open access for this article was funded by King's College London.

Availability of data and materials

Due to our local governance policy, we do not have permission to make the data sets on which the conclusions of the paper rely publicly available. A truncated data set (removing all potentially identifying features) may be made available on an individual request basis.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

As a retrospective service evaluation, written patient informed consent and regional ethics approval was not required.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 4 July 2018 Accepted: 14 August 2019 Published online: 27 August 2019

References

- Hooper C, Lee YCG, et al. British Thoracic Society pleural disease guideline 2010. Thorax. 2010;65(Suppl 2);ii4–ii17.
- Bintcliffe OJ, Lee YCG, et al. The management of benign non-infective pleural effusions. Eur Respir Rev. 2016;25:303–16.
- Ferreiro L, Álvarez-Dobaño JM, Valdés L. Systemic diseases and the pleura. Arch Bronconeumol. 2011;47(7):361–70.
- Joseph J, Sahn SA. Connective tissue diseases and the pleura. Chest. 1993; 104:262–70.
- Walker SP, Morley AJ, et al. Nonmalignant Pleural Effusions. Chest. 2017; 151(5):1099–105.
- Bhatnagar R, Maskell N. Developing a 'pleural team' to run a reactive pleural service. Clin Med. 2013;13(5):452–6.
- Rahman NM, Ali NJ, et al. British Thoracic Society pleural disease guideline 2010. Thorax. 2010;65(Suppl 2):ii54–60.
- Havelock T, Teoh R, et al. British Thoracic Society pleural disease guideline 2010. Thorax. 2010;65(Suppl 2):ii61–76.
- Prasad JD, Mahar A, et al. The interstitial lung disease multidisciplinary meeting: a position statement from the Thoracic Society of Australia and new Zealand and the Lung Foundation Australia. Respirology. 2017 Oct; 22(7):1459–72.
- Akagi T, Mukai T, Kodama S, et al. POEMS syndrome in a patient with rheumatoid arthritis.Case Rep. 2017;2017:bcr-2017-220875.
- Szeto MCH, Disney B, Perkins P, et al. Ascites and other incidental findings revealing undiagnosed systemic rheumatoid arthritis. Case Rep. 2015;2015: bcr2014207142.
- 12. Malone C, McCarthy G. Polymyalgia Rheumatica as an unusual cause of pleural and pericardial effusion. J Clin Rheumatol. 2005;11:59–60.
- Fawzi A, Maddekar N, Khan S, et al. M20 Pleural service in a large university teaching hospital – 1 year retrospective review. Thorax. 2016;71:A268.
- Brockelsby C, Wells A, Deegan P, et al. P13 the rationale for setting up a dedicated pleural procedure list: benefits for patients and trusts. Thorax. 2016;71:A90.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

