RESEARCH

The effect of nintedanib on lung functions and survival in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis: real-life analysis of the Czech EMPIRE registry

Marianna Štefániková^{1*†}, Martina Doubková^{1†}, Petra Ovesná², Martina Šterclová^{3,13}, Ladislav Lacina⁴, Monika Žurková⁵, Martina Plačková⁶, Vladimír Bartoš⁷, Ivana Janíčková⁶, Radka Bittenglová⁸, Jan Anton³, Ľubica Sýkorová¹, Vladimíra Lošťáková⁵, Pavlína Musilová⁹, Hana Šuldová¹⁰, Radka Mokošová⁶, Jurij Didyk¹¹, Lenka Šišáková¹², Pavlína Lisá¹³, Jaroslav Lněnička¹¹, Hana Dařičková⁶, Daniel Doležel¹¹, Jana Pšikalová¹⁴, Richard Tyl¹⁵, Renata Králová¹⁶ and Martina Koziar Vašáková³

Abstract

Introduction The antifibrotic drug nintedanib is used for the treatment of idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF). We analysed the effect of nintedanib on antifibrotic treatment outcome in real-world cohorts of Czech EMPIRE registry.

Patients/methods Data of 611 Czech IPF subjects, 430 (70%) treated with nintedanib (NIN group), 181 (30%) with no-antifibrotic treatment (NAF group) were analysed. The influence of nintedanib on overall survival (OS), pulmonary function parameters as forced vital capacity (FVC) and diffusing lung capacity for carbon monoxide (DLCO), as well as GAP score (gender, age, physiology) and and CPI (composite physiological index) were investigated.

Results During 2 year follow-up we observed that nintedanib treated patients had longer OS, compared to those treated with no-antifibrotic drugs (p < 0.00001). Nintedanib reduces risk of mortality over no-antifibrotic treatment by 55% (p < 0.001). We have observed no significant difference in the rate of FVC and DLCO decline between the NIN and NAF group. Changes within 24 months from baseline in CPI were not significant between the groups (NAF and NIN).

Conclusion Our real-practice study showed the benefit of nintedanib treatment on survival. There were no significant differences between NIN and NAF groups in changes from baseline in FVC %, DLCO % predicted and CPI.

Keywords Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis, Nintedanib, Lung function decline, Overall survival

[†]Marianna Štefániková and Martina Doubková contributed equally to this work.

*Correspondence: Marianna Štefániková stefanikova.marianna@fnbrno.cz

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.



Open Access

Introduction

Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF) is a specific form of chronic, progressive, fibrosing pneumonia, with unknown etiology associated with the histopathological and/or radiological pattern of usual interstitial pneumonia (UIP) [1]. The disease is characterized by worsening of dyspnea, decline in lung function and is associated with poor prognosis. IPF is a rare disease, which occurs primarily in middle aged to older adults, 67 years (50–82) [2]. Its incidence and mortality seem to be on rise and prevalence increases with aging population [3].

Since 2015 two antifibrotic drugs pirfenidone and nintedanib are recommended in the therapy of IPF. Pirfenidone is an oral antifibrotic drug which reduces disease progression [4, 5] and improves life expectancy [6]. The analysis of the Czech part of the EMPIRE registry observed the 2 years sustained effect of pirfenidone on the decline of lung function and survival in the realworld patients IPF cohort [7]. The effect of pirfenidon on survival in different subgroups of the IPF patients in real world was observed also in the whole cohort of the EMPIRE registry [8].

Nintedanib is a triple kinase inhibitor of plateletderived growth factor receptor (PDGFR), fibroblast growth factor receptors (FGFR), vascular endothelial growth factor receptor (VEGFR), and Src family kinase, which was approved in treatment of IPF. Studies showed that nintedanib inhibited the proliferation and activation of human fibroblasts and showed antifibrotic and antiinflammatory activity [9].

In 2014, the efficacy and safety of nintedanib was evaluated in INPULSIS-1 and INPULSIS-2 studies, which were randomized, double-blinded, placebo controlled, parallel-group studies, performed in 24 countries. Both studies showed significantly slower annual rate of decline in FVC in the nintedanib group compared to the placebo group. Moreover in INPULSIS-2, there was a significant difference described in time to first exacerbation over 52 weeks in the nintedanib group compared to placebo [10].

However long term data on disease progression in IPF patients treated with nintedanib is limited and there is a lack of data on the effect of nintedanib in clinical practice. Therefore we decided to conduct a real-life study of Czech EMPIRE registry.

Patients and methods

Nintedanib has been commercialy available for the patients with IPF in Czechia since September 2016. According to the Czech guidelines, nintedanib is recommended for the mild to moderate stage of IPF patients with measured FVC \geq 50% and \leq 90% and predicted DLCO \geq 30% [11]. This drug is administered twice daily, in the dose 150 mg.

The European MultiPartner IPF registry (EMPIRE, http://empire.registry.cz/index-en.php) is a multinational, observational longitudinal registry designed to describe the characteristics, used treatment and outcomes of IPF patients in 11 Central European countries. The project was launched in 2014, on a basis of the Czech IPF registry, which was founded in 2012 by the Section for ILDs (interstitial lung diseases) of the Czech Pneumological and Phtisiological Society. All subjects are entered consecutively into the registry with their written informed consent and approved by local ethics committees. In our study we used the data of Czech patients entered the EMPIRE registry between January 2016 (availability of nintedanib in the Czech Republic) and April 2022 (the date of analysis).

611 patients from the Czech IPF registry, a national registry within an international multicentre database of IPF patients (EMPIRE) were enrolled to the analysis. All patients were diagnosed according to American Thoracic Society (ATS)/European Respiratory Society (ERS) consensus classification [1] in centers for interstitial lung diseases, followed from September 2016. In this study we analysed patients treated with nintedanib with no history of other antifibrotic treatment (n=430, 70%) and patients with no antifibrotic treatment (n=181, 30%). The demographic and clinical data such as sex, age, smoking history, lung function, GAP score, CPI index in enrolled patients were investigated at treatment initiation and in months 6, 12, 18, and 24 of follow-up (Table 1).

Pulmonary function tests

Spirometry, bodypletysmography, diffusion lung capacity for carbon monoxide were measured according to the ATS/ERS recommendation [12–14]. Results were shown as a percentage of the predicted value, but also as a l/year for FVC and mmol/kPa/min/year for DLCO. In this analysis we included follow-up visits within 24 months from baseline and we focused on the annual rate of change in FVC and DLCO.

GAP score (gender, age, physiology)

GAP staging was developed in United States and Italy, this staging system stratifies patients with IPF into three categories, using clinical data of gender and age, together with physiological variables (DLCO and FVC) (Table 2). It provides the estimation of mortality in 1-, 2-, 3-year. Patients GAP III are experiencing the worst outcome as shown in Table 3 [15]. However GAP stage does not consider the HRCT (high resolution computed thomography) findings, which is crucial in diagnosing coexisting emphysema. Emphysema can be a counfunding factor, due to increasing % in FVC, which can lead to overestimation of the lung function [16].

						-
no-antifi	brotic c	group)				
AF thera	py initia	ation (i	n the NIN g	roup) or en	rollment (in the
lable I	Baselir	ne char	racteristics c	of patients a	at the time	e of

		N=611	$N = 430^{\circ}$	$N = 181^{\circ}$	
Men	611	438 (72%)	324 (75%)	114 (63%)	0.002
Age at therapy	611	71 (8)	70 (8)	73 (8)	0.001
initiation (years)		(-)	- (-)	- (-)	
Length of follow- up (months)	611	17 (6–34)	20 (9–37)	9 (3–26)	< 0.001
Duration of symptoms (months)	601	601 / 12 (3; 60)	423 / 12 (3; 60)	178 / 12 (2; 60)	0.007
Smoking status	611				0.037
Non-smokers		272 (45%)	202 (47%)	70 (39%)	
Ex-smokers		319 (52%)	218 (51%)	101 (56%)	
Smokers		20 (3.3%)	10 (2.3%)	10 (5.5%)	
Pharmacological treatment	611				
Pirfenidone		0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (NA%)	
Nintedanib		430 (70%)	430 (100%)	0 (NA%)	
FVC (L)	559	2.76 (0.81)	2.75 (0.74)	2.78 (0.93)	0.658
FVC (%)	556	81 (18)	78 (14)	88 (23)	< 0.001
FEV1 (%)	557	86 (24)	84 (15)	89 (36)	0.075
DLco (%)	544	49 (15)	49 (14)	50 (19)	0.375
GAP index	551				0.002
Ι		286 (52%)	185 (49%)	101 (59%)	
II		223 (40%)	171 (45%)	52 (30%)	
III		42 (7.6%)	23 (6.1%)	19 (11%)	
СРІ	533	46 (37–54)	47 (40–55)	41 (32–50)	< 0.001
СРІ	533				< 0.001
≤41		198 (37%)	115 (31%)	83 (52%)	
>41		335 (63%)	258 (69%)	77 (48%)	

 NIN – patients treated with nintedanib; NAF – patients with no-antifibrotic treatment

¹n (%); Mean (SD); Median (25–75%)

²Pearson's Chi-squared test; Fisher's exact test; Welch Two Sample t-test; Wilcoxon rank sum test

Table 3	Mortality	rate according	g to GAP index (15)

Stage	I	II	III
Points	0–3	4–5	6–8
Mortality	5.6	16.2	39.2
1-у	10.9	29.9	62.1
2-у	16.3	42.1	76.8
3-y1.			

	Predictor	Points
G (gender)	Female	0
	Male	1
A (age)	≤60	0
	61-65	1
	>65	2
P (physiology)	FVC > 75	0
	FVC 50-75	1
	FVC < 50	2
	DLco>55	0
	DLco 36–55	1
	DLco≤35	2
	Cannot perform	3

In our analysis of the effect of nintedanib treatment, patients where divided into subgroups according to the baseline GAP stage as follows: NIN group: GAP I (N=185), GAP II (N=171), GAP III (N=23). The GAP stage was unavailable for 51 patient from the NIN group. In the NAF group: GAP I (N=101), GAP II (N=52), GAP III (N=19). The adjusted results in each subgroup were adjusted according to the sex, age and baseline level of FVC, DLCO or CPI.

CPI (composite physiological index)

 Table 2
 GAP index calculation (15)

CPI index is being used to predict the disease progression. CPI is a model which was developed by Wells et al. in a British study. The CPI model is calculated using the percentage of the predicted values of FVC, DLCO and forced exspiratory volume in 1s (FEV₁) and is associated with the extent of pulmonary fibrosis on high-resolution computed tomography (HRCT). The formula for CPI was as follows: the extent of the disease on HRCT=91 – $(0.65 \times DLCO$ percentage of the predicted value [% pred]) – $(0.53 \times FVC \% \text{ pred}) + (0.34 \times \text{forced expiratory volume} [FEV1] \% \text{ pred}) [17]$. The advantage of this model is, that it considers the severity of emphysema, which may otherwise lead to overestimation of the lung function [16].

Statistics

Normally distributed continuous parameters of patients were described by mean and standard deviation (SD) and tested using *t*-test; median and interquartile range (IQR) and Wilcoxon test was applied to other variables. Categorical parameters were described by absolute and relative frequencies. Restricted maximum likelihood estimation based on a random slope and intercept model was used to estimate the adjusted annual rate of decline of lung functions (FVC, DLCO, CPI) from baseline. This model included fixed effects for time, baseline, sex, age as well as treatment-by-time interaction. Random effects for time and intercept were included for each patient. The mean (95% CI) Annual rate of change in lung functions (FVC, DLCO, CPI) from baseline and p-value of statistical significance were reported. Univariate models were followed by the multivariate ones (adjusted for sex, age and baseline level). The significant factors in the univariate models were involved in the multivariate model (see Supplementary Table S1 and S2). Only visits within the first 24 months from the therapy initiation or registry entry was used for the coefficient estimation. At least one post-baseline measurement was required to conduct a random slope and intercept model.

Kaplan-Meier estimate of survival function was used for the visualisation of survival data and it is supplemented by median of survival, number at risk and probability of survival in defined time periods (1 year, 3 years and 5 years after the beginning of the follow-up). Risk factors for overall survival were analysed by Cox proportional hazard model and presented as hazard ratio (HR), both crude and adjusted for baseline values (sex, age and baseline FVC level). All statistical analyses were carried out using R software (R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL https://www.R-project. org/). Level of significance α was set on 0.05. Any p-values presented are considered nominal in nature and no adjustment for multiplicity has been done.

Results

Baseline characteristics

Baseline characteristics of IPF patients n=611; 72% males and 28% females, 430 (70%) treated with nintedanib and 181 (30%) without antifibrotic treatment are presented in the Table 1. The mean age at the time of treatment initiation in the NIN group was 70 years, and 73 years in the NAF group. In the NIN group, mean (SD) FVC at the time of treatment initiation was 78% (14%) of the predicted value, and 88% (23%) in the NAF group (p < 0.001). Mean DLCO was 49% of the predicted value in the NIN group and 50% of the predicted value in the NAF group (p=0.375). Patients in NIN cohort were divided into subgroups by their baseline GAP index. The mean (SD) value of FVC at the time of treatment initiation was 2.93 l (0.77) in the GAP I group, 2.61 l (0.7) in GAP II group and 2.28 l (0.38) in the GAP III subgroup (p<0.001). The mean value of DLco at the time of treatment initiation was 57% (12) in the GAP I subgroup, 42% (10) in the GAP II subgroup and 32% (4) in the GAP III subgroup (p<0.001).

Overall survival

We analysed the overall survival (OS) of patients with IPF in NIN and NAF group. The nintedanib treated patients had longer OS, evident at 12, 36, 60 months after treatment initiation, compared to those treated with noantifibrotic drugs (p<0.001) as shown in the Fig. 1. The median survival NAF group was 47 months; the median survival was not reached in the NIN group during 5-yr follow-up. Nintedanib reduces risk of mortality over no-antifibrotic treatment by 55% (HR 0.45 (0.3–0.68), p < 0.001).

The OS of the IPF patients in the NIN group, across different GAP stages at the baseline is shown in the Fig. 2., the worst outcome suffer patients in the GAP III subgroup, which is consistent with the predictive function of the GAP stage model.

Lung functions (FVC, DLCO) and their changes during treatment and follow-up in IPF

In this analysis we compared the lung functions during the treatment and the follow-up in NIN and NAF groups as well as in each subgroup according to the baseline GAP stage. The adjusted values in each subgroup were adjusted according to the age, sex and baseline FVC or DLCO level. The mean adjusted annual rate of change in FVC in the NIN group was +0.002 l/y, p=0.920, compared to NAF group where mean adjusted annual decline in FVC was -0.056 l/y, p=0.071 (Table 4). The difference is not statistically significant, p=0.108 (Fig. 3). We observed no significant changes in FVC in subgroups of the NIN group (according to their baseline GAP) as shown in the Table 5. The median value of FVC at the time of treatment initiation in the GAP I, II and III group were 3.00, 2.62, 2.30 respectively (p=<0.001). The annual rate of change in FVC for each subgroup was adjusted according to the age, sex and baseline FVC. The mean adjusted annual decline of FVC in patients with baseline GAP I is -0.005 l/year and -0.03 l/year, respectively and it did not reach significance between the groups (p=0.516). In the subgroup with the baseline GAP II, the difference in decline in FVC in NAF group compared to NIN group did not reach statistical significance (+0.01 l/year and -0.092 l/year, p=0.062 respectively). In the GAP III subgroup, we observed no significant difference in FVC decline betweeen the groups -0.165 l/y in the NIN group and -0.144 l/y in the NAF group.

The adjusted annual rate of decline in DLCO in the NIN group (-0.266 mmol/kPa/min/yr) compared to NAF group (-0.165 mmol/kPa/min/yr), as also shown in the Fig. 3; Table 4, however this difference was not statistically significant, p=0.163. When analysing the annual decline in DLCO in NIN patients according to GAP score at baseline, the adjusted annual decline in DLCO in sub-group GAP I-III was similar and it did not reach significance (p=0.923) (Table 6).

CPI

There was significant difference between NIN and NAF in CPI at the baseline (at the treatment initiation or the registry entry) (p < 0.001). There was no statistically significant difference in the annual rate of change in CPI



Characteristic	Median of survival (months)	1-year survival (95% CI)	3-year survival (95% CI)	5-year survival (95% CI)		
NAF	47	0.87 (0.81-0.93)	0.63 (0.53-0.76)	0.37 (0.19-0.71)		
NIN	NA	0.95 (0.93-0.98)	0.80 (0.74-0.85)	0.65 (0.57-0.75)		
HR (95% CI) ¹ for NIN	0.45 (0.30-0.68), p<0.001					
Adj HR ² for NIN	0.45 (0.29-0.69), p<0.001					
NIN – patients treated with nintedanib; NAF – patients with no-antifibrotic treatment						
¹ HR = Hazard Ratio, CI = Confidence Interval						
² Adj HR – Hazard ratio adjusted on sex, age and baseline FVC level						

Fig. 1 Overall survival of patients with nintedanib (NIN) and with no-antifibrotic (NAF) treatment

between NIN and NAF cohorts (p=0.637) as well as in the annual rate of change in CPI adjusted for the baseline CPI level (p=0.472).

Discussion

The clinical course of IPF is very heterogenous. Due to this fact, the multifactorial predictive models were developed to predict the disease progression. In our study we investigated the effect of nintedanib treatment on lung function and survival as well as the possible impact of predicitve model GAP and CPI and its baseline value on the treatment effect of nintedanib.

According to our data, nintedanib treatment has a positive impact on OS of the IPF patients. The OS of patients treated with nintedanib, across the subgroups by GAP stage at the baseline, is consistent with the predictive values given by GAP. This means that GAP III patients treated with nintedanib suffer the worst outcome.

A decline in FVC over time in patients with IPF is considered an evidence of the disease progression and it is predictive of mortality [1]. We observed no statistical significance in 2yrs sustained effect of nintedanib on decline of FVC. The data also suggest, that there was no difference in the rate of FVC decline between NIN and NAF group. These results are inconsistent with the result of INPULSIS-1 and INPULSIS-2 trials [10]. In the study INPULSIS-1, which confirmed the effect of nintedanib on lung function, the entry criteria were FVC of 50% or more of the predicted value and a DLCO was 30 to 79% of the predicted value (INPULSIS-1). For the study INPULSIS-2, similar inclusion criteria was used (INPUL-SIS-2). Nintedanib had an effect in patients with more preserved lung function [10].

There was a number of studies, where the effect on nintedanib on FVC decline were analysed across different subgroups, using pooled data from the INPULSIS trials [18–20]. The treatment effect of nintedanib on FVC decline was proved in all above mentioned studies. In our study we observed no significant effect of nintedanib treatment on FVC decline across the subgroups of IPF patients, according to their baseline GAP, unlike the study of Ryerson et al., where the effect of nintedanib on FVC decline was similar in all GAP subgroups, but irrespective of the GAP stage at the baseline [21].

Both factors FVC and DLCO are parts of the GAP predictive model (as described above). Unlike FVC, DLCO



²Adj HR – Hazard ratio adjusted on sex, age and baseline FVC level



is not usually used as a prognostic factor of pulmonary function monitoring in clinical studies with IPF [10]. However Doubková et al. describe DLCO as more accurate predictor of mortality than FVC [2] and Žurková et al. emphasise the importance of the DLCO decline of \geq 10% as a predictor of mortality in pirfenidon treated patients [7]. However the DLCO values can be distorted by anemia, coexisting emphysema [22] or pulmonary hypertension [23]. There is also a high intra-patient variability in DLCO measurements performed by different technicians, using different equipments [22]. In our study, we observed no significant difference in the annual decline in DLCO in NIN cohort compared to NAF cohort.

Lee et al. compared two multifactorial models which are being used for predicting the IPF progression: GAP stage and CPI index [24]. These models were also used in our analysis. Based on the study of Lee et al., these two models had similar significant predictive values for patients with IPF (p<0.001) [24]. There was significant difference between NIN and NAF in CPI at the baseline (at the treatment initation) in our study, however the effect of nintedanib on CPI was also not proved. There were two studies by Wells et al. investigating the CPI index and effect of nintedanib, both based on the data

All data are given as:	Total	NIN	NAF	P ¹	
mean (95% CI), p-value	N=611	N=430	N=181	-	
Annual rate of change					
FVC (l/yr)	-0.024 (-0.047; 0), p=0.050	-0.014 (-0.041; 0.012), p=0.295	-0.058 (-0.108; -0.008), p=0.022	p=0.130	
DLco (mmol/kPa/min/yr)	-0.245 (-0.296; -0.195), p < 0.001	-0.27 (-0.327; -0.214), p<0.001	-0.149 (-0.26; -0.038), p=0.008	p=0.056	
CPI (per yr)	1.307 (0.584; 2.029), p<0.001	1.185 (0.352; 2.018), p=0.006	1.592 (0.124; 3.059), p=0.034	p=0.637	
Annual rate of change (adjusted ²)					
FVC (l/yr)	-0.014 (-0.044; 0.017), p=0.387	0.002 (-0.033; 0.037), p=0.920	-0.056 (-0.117; 0.005), p=0.071	p=0.108	
DLco (mmol/kPa/min/yr)	-0.241 (-0.301; -0.18), p<0.001	-0.266 (-0.335; -0.197), p<0.001	-0.165 (-0.289; -0.04), p=0.009	p=0.163	
CPI (per yr)	1.403 (0.451; 2.355), p = 0.004	1.192 (0.091; 2.293), p=0.035	1.999 (0.102; 3.896), p=0.039	p=0.472	

 Table 4
 Linear model for annual change in FVC (L), DLco (mmol/kPa/min) and CPI

NIN – patients treated with nintedanib; NAF – patients with no-antifibrotic treatment

¹statistical significance of the interaction between time and treatment from the linear mixed-effects model; only follow-up visits within 24 months from baseline were included into the model

²adjusted for sex, age and baseline level (FVC, DLco or CPI, respectively)

from INPULSIS trials. Nintedanib was associated with the marginal effect on CPI index [25] and the lung function decline was slowed down by nintedanib irrespective of baseline CPI index [26].



Fig. 3 Effect size of the nintedanib (NIN) treatment on FVC (L) compared to no-antifiboric (NAF) group based on the linear model (Table 4, adjusted for sex, age and baseline FVC level)

Conclusion

In the IPF real-world registry EMPIRE is the overall survival of the patients treated by nintedanib is longer than of those with no antifibrotic treatment. Nintedanib reduces 5yrs risk of mortality over no-antifibrotic treatment by 55% (p<0.001). We did not observed effect of nintedanib on lung function decline.

Table 5 Linear model for change in I	FVC (L) according to GAP index
--------------------------------------	--------------------------------

	NIN		NAF	:	P ¹	P ²
	Ν	Mean (95% Cl) (l/yr)	N	Mean (95% CI) (I/yr)		
Annual rate of change in FVC (I/yr)						
GAP I	174	-0.007 (-0.047; 0.033), p=0.728	101	-0.033 (-0.096; 0.03), p=0.307	p=0.499	p=0.456
GAP II	160	0.004 (-0.04; 0.048), p=0.853	52	-0.095 (-0.192; 0.002), p=0.054	p=0.067	
GAP III	22	-0.166 (-0.296; -0.036), p=0.012	19	-0.144 (-0.341; 0.054), p=0.155	p=0.852	
Annual rate of change in FVC (I/yr) (adjusted ³)						
GAPI	174	-0.005 (-0.045; 0.035), p=0.799	101	-0.03 (-0.093; 0.033), p=0.352	p=0.516	p=0.437
GAP II	160	0.01 (-0.035; 0.054), p=0.669	52	-0.092 (-0.189; 0.005), p=0.064	p=0.062	
GAP III	22	-0.165 (-0.296; -0.034), p=0.013	19	-0.144 (-0.343; 0.056), p=0.158	p=0.857	

NIN – patients treated with nintedanib; NAF – patients with no-antifibrotic treatment

¹difference nintedanib vs. no antifibrotics

²differential effect of nintedanib between GAP index subgroups

³adjusted for sex, age and FVC (L) at baseline

All data are given as:	GAP I	GAP II	GAP III	P ¹
mean (95% CI), p-value	N=174	N=160	N=22	_
Annual rate of change				
FVC (l/yr)	-0.007 (-0.05; 0.036), p=0.750	0.004 (-0.043; 0.052), p=0.863	-0.173 (-0.314; -0.032), p=0.016	p=0.068
DLco (mmol/kPa/min/yr)	-0.261 (-0.345; -0.177), p<0.001	-0.246 (-0.339; -0.152), p<0.001	-0.295 (-0.579; -0.011), p=0.042	p=0.935
CPI	1.571 (0.374; 2.768), p=0.011	1.071 (-0.241; 2.383), p=0.110	-0.825 (-4.917; 3.266), p=0.693	p=0.514
Annual rate of change (adjusted ²)				
FVC (l/yr)	0.011 (-0.039; 0.06), p=0.666	0.015 (-0.039; 0.069), p=0.577	-0.183 (-0.345; -0.022), p=0.026	p=0.070
DLco (mmol/kPa/min/yr)	-0.267 (-0.37; -0.163), p < 0.001	-0.26 (-0.374; -0.146), p<0.001	-0.334 (-0.68; 0.012), p=0.058	p=0.923
CPI	1.682 (0.195; 3.169), p=0.028	1.229 (-0.426; 2.884), p=0.146	-2.817 (-8.075; 2.442), p=0.294	p=0.273

 Table 6
 Comparison of lung functions and their time trends in

 NIN patients with different GAP index at baseline
 Second Second

¹statistical significance of the interaction between time and GAP index from the linear mixed-effects model; only follow-up visits within 24 months from baseline were included into the model

²adjusted for sex, age and baseline level (FVC, DLCO or CPI, respectively)

Abbreviations

IPF	Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis
NIN	Nintedanib
NAF	No antifibrotic tratment
OS	Overal survival
FVC	Forced vital capacity
DLco	Diffusing lung capacity for carbon monoxide
GAP	Gender, age, physiology
CPI	Composite physiolgical index
UIP	Usual interstitial pneumonia
PDGFR	Platelet derived growth factor
FGFR	Fibroblast growth factor receptors
VEGFR	Vascular endothelial growth factor
EMPIRE	Eurpean multipartner IPF registry
ATS	American thoracic society
ERS	European respiratory society, HRCT: high resolution computed
	thomography
FEV 1	Forced exspiratory volume in 1 s

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s12890-023-02450-3.

Supplementary tables: Table S1. Results of univariate linear models for annual change in FVC (L) and the multivariate model that includes selected significant and independent confounders as factors/covariates. Table S2. Results of univariate Cox proportional-hazards models for overall

survival and the multivariate model that includes selected significant and independent confounders as.

Acknowledgements

The EMPIRE registry is supported by F. Hoffman-La Roche and Boehringer Ingelheim. The funders had no role in the design, analysis or interpretation of the results in this study. The funders were given the opportunity to review the manuscript for medical and scientific accuracy as it relates to substances, as well as intellectual property considerations.

Authors' contributions

All authors read the final manuscript version. M.Š. and M.D. designed the study, analyzed and interpreted the data, wrote the manuscript. P.O. performed the statistical anaylsis. M.Š., L.L., M.Ž., M.P., V.B., I. J., R.B., J.A., Ľ.S., V.L., P.M.,H.Š., R.M., J.D., L.Š., P.L., J.L., H.D., D.D., J.P., R.T., R.K., collected patient's data. M.K.V revised the results and advised on intepretation of the data.

Funding

This study was supported by Czech Pneumological and Phtiseological Society (publication fee grant).

Data availability

All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this published article.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Ethics approval was obtained from the Institutional Review Board of Thomayer Hospital Prague. The study was in Accordance with the Helsinki Declaration. All participants gave written informed consent to participate in the study.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹Department of Pulmonary diseases and tuberculosis, Faculty of Medicine, Masaryk University, University Hospital, Brno, Czech Republic ²Institute of Biostatistics and Analyses, Faculty of Medicine, Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic

³Department of Respiratory Medicine, University Thomayer Hospital, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic

⁴Department of Pulmonary Medicine and Thoracic Surgery, Hospital Na Bulovce, Prague, Czech Republic

⁵Department of Pulmonary Diseases and Tuberculosis, Faculty of Medicine and Dentistry, Palacky University in Olomouc, University Hospital Olomouc, Olomouc, Czech Republic

⁶Department of Pulmonary Diseases and Tuberculosis, Faculty of Medicine, University of Ostrava, University Hospital Ostrava, Ostrava, Czech Republic

⁷Department of Pulmonary Medicine, Faculty of Medicine in Hradec Kralove at Charles University in Prague, University Hospital Hradec Kralove, Hradec Kralove, Czech Republic

⁸Department of Pulmonary Medicine, University Hospital Plzen, Pilsen, Czech Republic

⁹Department of Pulmonary Medicine, Hospital Jihlava, Jihlava, Czech Republic

¹⁰Department of Pulmonary Medicine, Hospital Ceske Budejovice, Ceske Budejovice, Czech Republic

¹¹Department of Pulmonary Diseases and Tuberculosis, Regional Medical Association, JSC – Masaryk Hospital in Usti nad Labem, Usti nad Labem, Czech Republic

¹²Department of Pulmonary Medicine, Tomas Bata Regional Hospital, Zlin, Czech Republic

¹³Department of Pulmonary Medicine, Faculty of Medicine at Charles

University in Prague, University Hospital in Motol, Prague, Czech Republic

¹⁴Department of Pulmonary Medicine and Allergology, Hospital Kromeriz, Kromeriz, Czech Republic

¹⁵Department of Pulmonary Medicine, Hospital Novy Jicin, Novy Jicin, Czech Republic

¹⁶Department of Pulmonary Medicine, Regional Hospital Pardubice, Pardubice, Czech Republic

Received: 25 October 2022 / Accepted: 23 April 2023 Published online: 03 May 2023

References

- Raghu G, Collard HR, Egan JJ, Martinez FJ, Behr J, Brown KK, Colby TV, Cordier JF, Flaherty KR, Lasky JA, Lynch DA, Ryu JH, Swigris JJ, Wells AU, Ancochea J, Bouros D, Carvalho C, Costabel U, Ebina M, Hansell DM, Johkoh T, Kim DS, King TE Jr, Kondoh Y, Myers J, Müller NL, Nicholson AG, Richeldi L, Selman M, Dudden RF, Griss BS, Protzko SL, Schünemann HJ. An official ATS/ERS/JRS/ ALAT statement: idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis: evidence-based guidelines for diagnosis and management. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2011;183:788–824.
- Doubková M, Švancara J, Svoboda M, Šterclová M, Bartoš V, Plačková M, Lacina L, Žurková M, Binková I, Bittenglová R, Lošťáková V, Merta Z, Šišková L, Tyl R, Lisá P, Šuldová H, Petřík F, Pšikalová J, Řinák V, Snížek T, Reiterer P, Homolka J, Musilová P, Lněnička J, Palúch P, Hrdina R, Králová R, Hortvíková H, Strenková J, Vašáková MEMPIRE, Registry. Czech Part: Impact of demographics, pulmonary function and HRCT on survival and clinical course in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. Clin Respir J. 2018;12:1526–35.
- Ley B, Collard HR. Epidemiology of idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. Clin Epidemiol. 2013;5:483–92.
- King TE Jr, Bradford WZ, Castro-Bernardini S, Fagan EA, Glaspole I, Glassberg MK, Gorina E, Hopkins PM, Kardatzke D, Lancaster L, Lederer DJ, Nathan SD, Pereira CA, Sahn SA, Sussman R, Swigris JJ, Noble PW. ASCEND Study Group. A phase 3 trial of pirfenidone in patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. N Engl J Med. 2014;370:2083–92.
- Noble PW, Albera C, Bradford WZ, Costabel U, Glassberg MK, Kardatzke D, King TE Jr, Lancaster L, Sahn SA, Szwarcberg J, Valeyre D, du Bois RM, CAPACITY Study Group. CAPACITY study group Pirfenidone in patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (CAPACITY): two randomised trials. Lancet. 2011;377:1760–9.
- Fisher M, Nathan SD, Hill C, Marshall J, Dejonckheere F, Thuresson PO, Maher TM. Predicting Life Expectancy for Pirfenidone in Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis. J Manag Care Spec Pharm. 2017;23:17–24.
- Zurkova M, Kriegova E, Kolek V, Lostakova V, Sterclova M, Bartos V, Doubkova M, Binkova I, Svoboda M, Strenkova J, Janotova M, Plackova M, Lacina L, Rihak V, Petrik F, Lisa P, Bittenglova R, Tyl R, Ondrejka G, Suldova H, Leneicka J, Psikalova J, Snizek T, Homolka J, Kralova R, Kervitzer J, Vasakova M. ILD section; IPF registry. Effect of pirfenidone on lung function decline and survival: 5-yr experience from a real-life IPF cohort from the Czech EMPIRE registry. Respir Res. 2019;20:16.
- Májek O, Gregor J, Mogulkoć N, Lewandowska K, Šterclová M, Müller V, Hájková M, Kramer MR, Tekavec-Trkanjec J, Jovanović D, Studnicka M, Stoeva N, Kirchgässler KU, Littnerová S, Dušek L, Vašáková MK. Survival and lung function decline in patients with definite, probable and possible idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis treated with pirfenidone. PLoS ONE. 2022;17:e0273854.
- Wollin L, Wex E, Pautsch A, Schnapp G, Hostettler KE, Stowasser S, Kolb M. Mode of action of nintedanib in the treatment of idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. Eur Respir J. 2015;45:1434–45.
- 10. Richeldi L, du Bois RM, Raghu G, Azuma A, Brown KK, Costabel U, Cottin V, Flaherty KR, Hansell DM, Inoue Y, Kim DS, Kolb M, Nicholson AG, Noble

PW, Selman M, Taniguchi H, Brun M, Le Maulf F, Girard M, Stowasser S, Schlenker-Herceg R, Disse B, Collard HR. INPULSIS Trial Investigators. Efficacy and safety of nintedanib in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. N Engl J Med. 2014;370:2071–82.

- Vasakova M, Sterclová M. Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis recommendations for the diagnostics, treatment and follow-up (2. Up-date) [in Czech]. 2019. http://www.pneumologie.cz/guidelines. Accessed 15. Sept 2022.
- Miller MR, Hankinson J, Brusasco V, et al. Standardisation of spirometry. Eur Respir J. 2005;26:319–38.
- 13. Wanger J, Clausen JL, Coates A, et al. Standardisation of the measurement of lung volumes. Eur Respir J. 2005;26:511–22.
- Macintyre N, Crapo RO, Viegi G, et al. Standardisation of the single-breath determination of carbon monoxide uptake in the lung. Eur Respir J. 2005;26:720–35.
- Ley B, Ryerson CJ, Vittinghoff E, Ryu JH, Tomassetti S, Lee JS, Poletti V, Buccioli M, Elicker BM, Jones KD, King TE Jr, Collard HR. A multidimensional index and staging system for idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. Ann Intern Med. 2012;156:684–91.
- Lynch JP 3rd, Huynh RH, Fishbein MC, Saggar R, Belperio JA, Weigt SS. Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis: epidemiology, clinical features, prognosis, and management. Semin Respir Crit Care Med. 2016;37:331–57.
- Wells AU, Desai SR, Rubens MB, Goh NS, Cramer D, Nicholson AG, Colby TV, du Bois RM, Hansell DM. Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis: a composite physiologic index derived from disease extent observed by computed tomography. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2003;167:962–9.
- Costabel U, Inoue Y, Richeldi L, Collard HR, Tschoepe I, Stowasser S, Azuma A. Efficacy of Nintedanib in Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis across Prespecified Subgroups in INPULSIS. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2016;193:178–85.
- Raghu G, Wells AU, Nicholson AG, Richeldi L, Flaherty KR, Le Maulf F, Stowasser S, Schlenker-Herceg R, Hansell DM. Effect of Nintedanib in Subgroups of Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis by Diagnostic Criteria. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2017;195:78–85.
- Brown KK, Flaherty KR, Cottin V, Raghu G, Inoue Y, Azuma A, Huggins JT, Richeldi L, Stowasser S, Stansen W, Schlenker-Herceg R, Maher TM, Wells AU. Lung function outcomes in the INPULSIS® trials of nintedanib in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. Respir Med. 2019;146:42–8.
- Ryerson CJ, Kolb M, Richeldi L, Lee J, Wachtlin D, Stowasser S, Poletti V. Effects of nintedanib in patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis by GAP stage. ERJ Open Res. 2019;5:00127–2018.
- Pellegrino R, Viegi G, Brusasco V, et al. Interpretative strategies for lung function tests. Eur Respir J. 2005;26:948.
- Gläser S, Noga O, Koch B, et al. Impact of pulmonary hypertension on gas exchange and exercise capacity in patients with pulmonary fibrosis. Respir Med. 2009;103:317–24.
- Lee SH, Park JS, Kim SY, Kim DS, Kim YW, Chung MP, Uh ST, Park CS, Park SW, Jeong SH, Park YB, Lee HL, Shin JW, Lee EJ, Lee JH, Jegal Y, Lee HK, Kim YH, Song JW, Park MS. Comparison of CPI and GAP models in patients with idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis: a nationwide cohort study. Sci Rep. 2018;8:4784.
- Wells A, Behr J, Stansen W, Stowasser S, Maher TM. Effect of nintedanib on composite physiologic index (CPI) in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (IPF). Eur Respir J. 2017;50 Suppl 61.
- Wells A, Behr J, Stansen W, Stowasser S, Maher T. Effect of baseline composite physiologic index on benefit of nintedanib in IPF. Eur Respir J. 2016;48 Suppl 60.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.