

# Association of the location and initial degree of malignant central airway stenosis with the risk of severe restenosis after interventional bronchoscopy

Saibin Wang<sup>1\*</sup>, Renzhi Zhou<sup>1</sup>, Siyao Zhu<sup>2</sup> and Dan Yan<sup>1</sup>

# Abstract

**Background:** Therapeutic bronchoscopy is one of the effective methols who have the treatment and management of malignant central airway stenosis (MCAS). However, restenosis after therapeutic bronchoscopy frequently occurs and severe restenosis (SR) can be life-threatening. Therefore, this sturbe aimed a cinvestigating the risk factors for SR after therapeutic bronchoscopy.

**Methods:** The data of 233 consecutive cases with MC/C who were subjected to therapeutic bronchoscopy between 2015 and 2020 at a tertiary hospital were collected. Patients were divided into SR group and non-SR during 6 months after therapeutic bronchoscopy. Multiple logistic regretion a alysis was performed to determine the risk factors for SR.

**Results:** SR during 6 months after therapolucic bic choscopy occurred in 39.5% (92/233) of patients. The location and the initial degree of MCAS were as ociated with SR, as assessed by multiple logistic regression analysis (*P* < 0.05). The risk of SR after therapeutic bronch scopy in the left main bronchus, right main bronchus, and right intermediate bronchus increased, compared to the risk of MCAS was located in the trachea (OR (95% CI) of 8.821 (1.850-25.148), 6.583 (1.791–24.189), and S. 50 (0.831–13.511), respectively). In addition, the initial degree of MCAS was positively associated with an increased use of SR (OR 1.020; 95% CI 1.006–1.035).

**Conclusions:** MCAS lo atec in the left main bronchus, right main bronchus and right intermediate bronchus, as well as the higher in tial correct MCAS were independent risk factors for SR during 6 months after therapeutic bronchoscopy.

Keywords: Malignal central airway stenosis, Restenosis, Bronchoscopy, Lung cancer

# Introd tion

Me' may central airway stenosis (MCAS) is referred to the stupping of the central airway including the trachea, left main pronchus (LMB), right main bronchus (RMB),

\*Correspondence: saibinwang@hotmail.com

and right intermediate bronchus (RIB) caused by primary or metastatic malignancies. MCAS commonly leads to different levels of dyspnea and even asphyxia in the patients, which could be frequent outcomes if MCAS is left untreated [1, 2]. The treatment and management of MCAS were very difficult until the time in which specific airway interventions were available, such as electrocautery, cold ablation, mechanical resection, laser, and airway dilatation [1–5]. MCAS can be rapidly resolved



© The Author(s) 2021. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Department of Respiratory Medicine, Jinhua Municipal Central Hospital, No. 365, East Renmin Road, Jinhua 321000, Zhejiang Province, China Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

in most patients using therapeutic bronchoscopy [2, 6]. However, airway restenosis commonly occurs after therapeutic bronchoscopy with the progress of the tumor. Therefore, it is important that interventionists know the risk factors and timing of the restenosis in the management and treatment of MCAS. Unfortunately, few reports are available regarding this important aspect.

Hence, a retrospective study was performed to assess severe restenosis (SR) during 6 months after therapeutic bronchoscopy, to identify the independent risk factors for SR in these patients.

# Methods

## Study design and data collection

This was a retrospective study using data collected from consecutive patients who were diagnosed with MCAS and were subjected to therapeutic bronchoscopy at a large-scale tertiary hospital in China between May 2015 and August 2020. The criteria used to decide the first intervention in this study were when central airway obstruction exceeded 50% and the patients consented to therapeutic bronchoscopy regardless of symptom Patients with 100% central airway obstruction in y nom it was not possible to open the obstruction after the peutic bronchoscopy, or those without perperative computed tomography (CT) scan during the 6 onths follow-up were excluded. The study way approved to the ethics committee of the Jinhua Munici al Central Hospital (Jinhua, China) (No. 2020-LLSC-35 data were anonymous, and there, the informed consent was waived [7].

The following variables pre collected: age, gender, smoking, co-morbidita ir 1-ding hypertension, diabetes, and chronic obstruc ve pulmonary disease, locations of the lesion he trachea, LMB, RMB, and RIB), histological type of m. imancies (lung adenocarcinoma, lung squar ous) ell carcinoma, small cell lung cancer and other), dysp. 1 index (DI) [8], initial degree of MCAS (calcata, d by the formula: largest area occupied by the lesio. ir \_\_\_\_\_ men/total area of the lumen×100%, based on the coss-sectional CT imaging), degree of residual stenosis after therapeutic bronchoscopy, and postbronchoscopy therapies (radiotherapy, chemotherapy, molecule-targeted therapy, and immunotherapy). In this study, SR was defined as the degree of restenosis that exceeds half of the residual stenosis after interventional treatment, otherwise classified as non-SR.

# **Bronchoscopy procedures**

All patients were subjected to bronchoscopy under general anesthesia and the procedure was performed as previously described [9]. In this study, all the interventional procedure was performed using fiberoptic bronchoscope (BF-1T60, Olympus Corp., Tokyo, Japan) via a laryngeal mask (Well Lead Medical Co., Ltd., Guangzhou, China). The modalities of the interventional bronchoscopy in this study included electrocautery, argon plasma coagulation, cryotherapy, and airway stent implantation (N. kel-Tit nium shape-memory alloy net-like stent (Nanjing, V.ni-mally Invasive Medical Technology Co. Ltd., Nanjing, China) or Membrane-covered metanic statt ( $\beta$ SC Int'l Medical Trade (Shanghai) Co., Lt ., Shangha, China).

# Statistical analysis

Continuous data are procented as  $\tan \pm \operatorname{standard} \operatorname{deviation}$  and categorical variables as number and percentage. Unpaired t-top or Maxin-Whitney U test, Pearson chi-squared tess of Fisher's exact test was applied as appropriate to compare the SR with the non-SR group. Variables that yield d a *P* value < 0.05 in the univariate analysis Fearment the two groups were included in the multiple logistic regression. In addition, the general factors [9] R software (version 3.5.1; R and attistical Computing, Vienna, Austria) was used for statistical analysis and a *P* value < 0.05 was onsidered statistically significant.

# Results

The data of 233 MCAS cases treated with interventional bronchoscopy were collected and analyzed in this study. A total of 91.8% were males and 71.2% of the population was represented by smokers as shown in Table 1. The lesions located in the trachea, LMB, RMB, and RIB represented 14.6%, 32.2%, 33.5% and 19.7%, respectively. More than half of the patients (53.6%) had a DI of grade 4. The proportion of patients with DI of grade 4 in the SR group (57.6%) was higher than that in the non-SR group (51.1%), but the difference was not statistically significant (Table 2, P > 0.05). During 6 months after therapeutic bronchoscopy, 92 patients (39.5%) developed SR (Fig. 1). Patients with SR in this study received an average of 2.1 interventions. In these lesions, the rate of developing SR at 1-month, 2-month, and 3-month after bronchoscopy intervention was 26.1%, 65.2%, and 79.3%, respectively. The rate of the different pathological types of tumors such as lung adenocarcinoma, lung squamous cell carcinoma, and lung small cell carcinoma was 2.1%, 79.8% and 7.3%, respectively. In this study, 90% of patients with primary lung cancer were stage IIIB-IV based on the 8th edition of the TNM classification for lung cancer. A total of 43.8% of patients received more than two different treatments (chemotherapy, radiotherapy, moleculetargeted therapy, and immunotherapy) after therapeutic bronchoscopy. The overall median survival of the population in this study was 26.3 months.

Table 1 Baseline characteristics of	f the study participants
-------------------------------------	--------------------------

Characteristics	Value
Age (y), (mean $\pm$ SD)	66.6±8.2
Male, n (%)	214 (91.8)
Smoking, n (%)	166 (71.2)
Coexisting disease, n (%)	
COPD	21 (9.0)
Hypertension	58 (24.9)
Diabetes	11 (4.7)
Dyspnea index, n (%)	13 (2.5)
0	42 (18.0)
1	9 (3.9)
2	27 (11.6)
3	30 (12.9)
4	125 (53.6)
Location of the lesion, n (%)	
Trachea	34 (14.6)
Left main bronchus	75 (32.2)
Right main bronchus	78 (33.5)
Right intermediate bronchus	46 (19.7)
Initial degree of MCAS, (mean $\pm$ SD, %)	76.9±2° 9
Post-intervention residual stenosis, (mean $\pm$ SD, %)	) 28.4 .17.3
Histological types, n (%)	
Lung adenocarcinoma	5 (2.1)
Lung squamous cell carcinoma	5 (79.8)
SCLC	(ر با 17
Other*	25 (10.7)
Stent implantation, n (%)	56 (24.0)
Other treatments after intervention,** n (%)	
0	50 (21.5)
1	81 (34.8)
≥2	102 (43.8)
Severe restenosis, n (%)	92 (39.5)

COPD chronic obstruct e putonary disease, MCAS malignant central airway stenosis, SCLC small ollhung cathoma

\*Other histologi al typ is including adenoid cystic carcinoma, lymphoma, large cell neuroe, for the carcinoma, mesenchymal tumor, soft tissue sarcoma, and metastatic mail, ancies (esophageal cancer, gastric cancer, colorectal adenorarcine ma, and for indigapillary carcinoma)

\*\*On reactive including radiotherapy, chemotherapy, molecule-targeted therapy, 1 immunotherapy. 0, patients didn't receive any other treatment after therapeutic bronchoscopy; 1, patients received one other treatment after therapeutic bronchoscopy;  $\geq 2$ , patients received at least two other treatments after therapeutic bronchoscopy

A total of 56 patients received stent implantation (21 with Nickel-Titanium shape-memory alloy net-like stent and 35 with membrane-covered metallic stent). Among patients with lung squamous cell carcinoma, 30.1% (43/143) of the cases received stent implantation. There was no statistically significant difference (P > 0.05) in the incidence of SR between the stent implantation group (37.2%) and the non-stent implantation group (43.4%)

within 6 months after the intervention. However, the mean residual degree of post-intervention stenosis was lower in the stent implantation group (22.7%) than in the non-stent implantation group (30.1%) P < 0.05). Among these cases, the fracture of the implanted stept was observed in one case, its migration was observed in two cases, and the intervention-related leeding enceeding 100 ml was observed in two cases. No control occurred due to bronchoscopy.

The initial degree of MCAS, the degree of post-intervention residual stenosis an the ... ation of MCAS were correlated with St during months after therapeutic bronchoscopy as sessed by univariate analysis (Table 2). However only the initial degree of MCAS and its location wer vind pendent risk factors associated with SR, as assessed . multiple logistic regression analysis (P < 0.05, T-ble 3). Furthermore, the lesions located in the LMB, RMB, a ... "B were more prone to SR after therapeutic bron choscopy compared to those located in the ea, with an odds ratio [OR] (95% confidence interval [CI]) 8.821 (1.850-25.148), 6.583 (1.791-24.189), and 350 0.831-13.511), respectively. However, no significal difference in the incidence of SR was found among MB, RMB, and RIB (P > 0.05, Fig. 2). The initial degree of MCAS was positively associated with the risk of SR during 6 months after therapeutic bronchoscopy (P for trend = 0.025, Table 3; Fig. 3).

# Discussion

The present study revealed that the location of the MCAS and its initial degree were associated with SR during 6 months after therapeutic bronchoscopy. Specifically, MCAS located in the LMB, RMB, and RIB was more prone to SR after therapeutic bronchoscopy compared to those found in the trachea. In addition, the higher the initial degree of MCAS, the greater the risk of SR after therapeutic bronchoscopy.

Central airway stenosis or obstruction is a common clinical chest disorder, and it is also a difficult clinical problem for clinicians. MCAS has become increasingly frequent due to the high incidence of malignancies, especially lung cancer [2, 10]. Indeed, 20-30% of lung cancer patients develop complications related to airway obstruction [2]. Patients commonly die of asphyxiation resulting from severe airway stenosis. Fortunately, the rapid development of interventional bronchoscopy (e.g., electrocautery, cryotherapy, balloon bronchoplasty and airway stent implantation) provides an efficient solution for airway stenosis [1, 2, 4, 11, 12]. Most of the MCAS can be ameliorated using therapeutic bronchoscopy, thus patients can be quickly relieved [2, 13]. Interventional bronchoscopy has a significant effect on symptom relief and quality of life improvement, and it is generally considered as

Table 2 Univariable analysis of potential risk factors for severe restenosis after therapeutic bronchoscopy

Variables	Severe restenosis	Severe restenosis	
	No (n = 141)	Yes (n = 92)	
Age (y), (mean $\pm$ SD)	65.9±8.7	67.6±7.3	0.123
Gender, n (%)			
Male	126 (89.4)	88 (95.7)	0.086
Female	15 (10.6)	4 (4.3)	$\mathbf{V}$
Diabetes, n (%)			
Yes	7 (5.0)	4 (4.3)	0.828
No	134 (95.0)	89 (25.7)	
Hypertension, n (%)			
Yes	39 (27.7)	19 7)	0.227
No	102 (72.3)	73 (79 5)	
COPD, n (%)			
Yes	13 (9.2)	8 (8.7)	0.891
No	128 (90.8)	84 (91.3)	
Dyspnea index, n (%)			0.618
0	26 (18.4)	16 (17.4)	
1	5 (2.5)	4 (4.3)	
2	16 13)	11 (12.0)	
3	22 (15.	8 (8.7)	
4	/2 (51,1)	53 (57.6)	
Initial degree of MCAS, (mean $\pm$ SD, %)	72.2 ± 25.0	$83.9 \pm 20.4$	< 0.001
Post-intervention residual stenosis, (mean $\pm$ SD, %)	_6.1 ± 17.8	$31.8 \pm 15.9$	0.012
Location of the lesion, n (%)			< 0.001
Trachea	31 (22.0)	3 (3.3)	
Left main bronchus	39 (27.7)	36 (39.1)	
Right main bronchus	41 (29.1)	37 (40.2)	
Right intermediate bronchus	30 (21.3)	16 (17.4)	
Histological types, n (%)			0.463
Lung adenocarcinoma	4 (2.8)	1 (1.1)	
Lung squamous cell carchom.	108 (76.6)	78 (84.8)	
SCLC	12 (8.5)	5 (5.4)	
Other*	17 (12.1)	8 (8.7)	
Other treatment, after intervent, <i>n</i> ,** n (%)			0.366
0	34 (24.1)	16 (17.4)	
	45 (31.9)	36 (39.1)	
	62 (44.0)	40 (43.5)	

COPD chillic obstructive pulmonary disease, MCAS malignant central airway stenosis, SCLC small-cell lung carcinoma

\*Other histopgical types including adenoid cystic carcinoma, lymphoma, large cell neuroendocrine carcinoma, mesenchymal tumor, soft tissue sarcoma, and metastatic malignancies (esophageal cancer, gastric cancer, colorectal adenocarcinoma, and thyroid papillary carcinoma)

\*\*Other treatments including radiotherapy, chemotherapy, molecule-targeted therapy, and immunotherapy. 0, patients didn't receive any other treatment after therapeutic bronchoscopy; 1, patients received one other treatment after therapeutic bronchoscopy;  $\geq$  2, patients received at least two other treatments after therapeutic bronchoscopy;

a palliative treatment [14–16]. However, importantly, a recent study (EVERMORE trial) showed that interventional bronchoscopy, as a part of an integrated treatment, improved 1-year survival in patients with locally advanced non-small cell lung cancer (stage IIIB) and associated central airways obstruction [17]. Moreover,

genetic and anatomic phenotyping showed the potential to identify patients who may gain life expectancy from interventional bronchoscopy [17]. Actually, airway stenosis or obstruction reoccurs frequently with the progress of the tumor. Therefore, most of the patients are inevitably subjected to bronchoscopy treatment again or



Fig. 1 Severe restenosis of MCAS after therapeutic bronchoscopy. **a** The right main bronchus was completely obsticated by the lesion on the cross-sectional CT scan (black arrow). **b** Airway stent implantation improved the stenosis of the right main bronchus or stracan (arrow). **c** Severe restenosis of the right main bronchus was observed 3 months after stent implantation on CT scan (arrow). *M* S malignant central airway stenosis

 Table 3
 Multivariable analysis of risk factors for severe restenosis

 after therapeutic bronchoscopy
 Image: Severe restenosis

Variables	Odds ratio	95% CI	p value
Initial degree of MCAS	1.020	1.006-1.035	0.005
Initial degree of MCAS tertile			
Low	1.0	1.0	
Middle	2.637	1.179–5.897	0//18
High	2.568	1.178–5.596	0. 7
P for trend			0.025
Post-intervention residual stenosis	1.003	0.985022	0.748
Location of the lesion			
Trachea	1.0	.0	
Left main bronchus	8.821	50-251,68	0.004
Right main bronchus	6.583	1.791–24.189	0.005
Right intermediate bronchus	3.350	51–13.511	0.089
MCAS malignant central airway ster us	<b>I</b>		



**Fig. 2** The incidence of severe restenosis of lesions located in the trachea, LMB, RMB, and RIB during 6 months after therapeutic bronchoscopy. The incidences of severe restenosis were higher in the lesions located in the LMB, RMB, and RIB compared to those lesions located in the trachea. However, there were not statistically significant in the incidence of severe restenosis among these three locations. \*\*P < 0.01, n.s., P > 0.05. LMB left main bronchus, RMB right main bronchus, RIB right intermediate bronchus, n.s. not significant



even more times. Thus, clinicians need to know how long it takes for severe, life-threatening airway restenosis to occur after the first bronchoscopic treatment, and what the risk factors for SR are. However, as far as we know, few reports are available focusing on this issue.

The cause of MCAS included primary and metastatic malignant tumors [18]. In our study, 79.8% of the patients with MCAS were affected by lung squamous cell carcinoma, in agreement with a previous report [19]. Metastatic tumors in the central airway mainly derive from digestive tract tumors, blood tumors and thyroid tumors [1]. As regards the incidence of restenosis of the central airway during 6 months after interventional bronchoscopy, Luo et al. reported that its rate was 10.9–30.7%

after anti-tuberculosis therapy combined with interventional bronchoscopy in 152 patients with endobronchial tuberculosis [20]. However, few reports on malignant airway restenosis are available to date. In the present study, severe dyspnea (DI of grade 4) due to MCAS was observed in more than half of the patients, with this phenomenon appearing to be higher in the SR group, but it is notable that more than half of the patients in the non-SR group still had severe dyspnea. The reason why the DI did not reach a statistically significant difference between the two groups might be related to the small sample size and the large degree of post-intervention residual stenosis (Table 2). This suggests that initial treatment with adequate airway opening is crucial for the relief of the patient's symptoms. Our study revealed that the 6-month incidence of SR reached 39.5%. Among these SR patients, SR occurred at 1-month in more than onequarter (26.1%) of patient, at 2-month in more than onehalf (65.2%), and at 3-month in more than three-quarters (79.3%). This result might provide the answer regarding the more appropriate timing for the next interventional therapy to cure MCAS.

As regards the risk factors for SR, our study sh wed that the location of the lesion was associated with the k of SR after therapeutic bronchoscopy. The lesi s located in the trachea were less frequent occurrence of p. toperative SR than those in the mainstem brouch (LMB, MB, and RIB), indicating that the severity of airway restenosis might be related with the diameter of the pirw y. Moreover, our results revealed that the trial degree of MCAS was an independent risk factor for post perative SR. The more severe the initial ster sis, t e greater the risk of restenosis occurrence, he matienship between them was strong with both no a justment and adjustment for potential confouration (age, the location of the lesion, histological type of the le ion, stent implantation and other postoperative (reatments). Although the underlying mechanism eds to be further elucidated, our hypothesis was hat the might be related to the rate of tumor prog ss In addition, granulation tissue hyperplasia is a second frequent complication 6 months after stent placement [21]. Our analysis revealed that both in the overall study population and patients with lung squamous cell carcinoma, the implantation of the airway stent contributed to a lower degree of restenosis, but failed to reduce the incidence of SR as compared to those patients without stent implantation within 6 months after therapeutic bronchoscopy.

This study presents several limitations. Firstly, since this study was a single-center retrospective analysis, it suffered from potential unmeasured confounders and bias despite stringent statistical adjustments were performed to minimize residual confounding factors; therefore prospective investigations are needed to verify our results. Secondly, all interventions in the collected cases were performed by two bronchoscopists with more than 10-year experience, and the intervention a modalities were relatively the same, but it was not p scible to perform the same intervention method for all signs, and different intervention methods mig. result in different effects on the formation of airway restances. Thirdly, a certain delay might be present between the time when the patient was diagnosed with S by p stoperative CT scan and the time when SR ac vally occurred. Therefore, the time to SR occurrence mign be underestimated in our study; in other v ora, the time of SR was probably earlier than the tir that was observed. Fourthly, because the procedure f N CAS in this study was carried out with fiberoptic concroscope via laryngeal mask, the results of the study chinot be extrapolated to other interventions, uc, rigid bronchoscopy, which could be more effect ve in removing central airway cancer tissues. tionally considering the different timing of intervention in the course of the disease, and the different stage, stole gical type and biology of the cancers analyzed in the present study, it was not appropriate to carry out urvival analysis for this study population. Nevertheless, despite the aforementioned potential limitations, our study was the first revealing independent risk factors and the time of formation of postoperative SR in the population affected by MCAS.

# Conclusions

Patients with MCAS located in the LMB, RMB, and RIB had a high risk of SR during 6 months after therapeutic bronchoscopy. In addition, patients with a higher initial degree of MCAS showed an increased risk of postoperative SR. The results of our research might help clinicians in the assessment and management of patients with MCAS. The knowledge of the risk factors of restenosis and the choice of the appropriate time for airway interventional therapy could improve patient's quality of life and survival.

#### Abbreviations

MCAS: malignant central airway stenosis; SR: Severe restenosis; OR: Odds ratio; CI: Confidence interval.

#### Acknowledgements

We appreciate the help and support of all the participants involved in the study.

#### Authors' contributions

SW contributed substantially to the study design, data analysis and interpretation, the writing of the manuscript, and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. RZ, SZ and DY contributed to data collection and data interpretation. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

# Funding

This study was supported by the Medical and Health Science and Technology Plan Project of Zhejiang Province (No. 2018RC079, 2022495999), Youth Research Fund of Jinhua Municipal Central Hospital (No. JY2017-2-05), and the Science and Technology Project of Jinhua City (No. 2020XG-15). Zhejiang health and Health Committee, Jinhua Municipal Central Hospital and Jinhua Science and Technology Bureau provided funding but had no role in study design or collection, analysis or interpretation, nor in writing of the manuscript.

#### Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

# Declarations

#### Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study was approved by the ethics committee of the Jinhua Municipal Central Hospital (Jinhua, China) (No. 2020-LLSC-334). Written informed consent was waived by the ethics committee of the Jinhua Municipal Central Hospital.

#### **Consent for publication**

Not applicable

# Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Department of Respiratory Medicine, Jinhua Municipal Central Hospu 365, East Renmin Road, Jinhua 321000, Zhejiang Province, China, hoxii University School of Medicine, Shaoxing 312000, Zhejiang Prore, China.

#### Received: 21 May 2021 Accepted: 30 September 2021 Published online: 18 October 2021

#### References

- 1. Jin F, Li Q, Li S, et al. Interventional bronci of copy or the treatment of an expert recommendation for China. malignant central airway step Respiration. 2019;97(5):484 94.
- 2. Ernst A, Feller-Kopman D, Be Ita AC. Central airway obstruction. Am J Respir Crit care Med. 04:169(12):1278-97.
- Mohan A, Shresthan, Jadan K, et ... A prospective outcome assessment 3. after bronchoscupic in. entions for malignant central airway obstruction. J Bron non nterv Puin and. 2020;27(2):95–105. Mudam<sup>F</sup> A. Mill S.R. Fapen GA. Malignant central airway obstruction. J
- 4. Thorac Dis. 7,9(Su pl 10):S1087-S1110.
- wa T, Y. aki ao M, Ikeda S, et al. Implantation of ultraf-5. x nit lol stents in malignant tracheobronchial stenoses. Chest. 19-65

- Usuda K, Iwai S, Yamagata A, et al. Clinical outcomes and survival fol-6. lowing placement of self-expandable metallic stents for central airway stenosis and fistula. Thorac Cancer. 2021;12(1):48-56.
- 7. Fong M, Braun KL, Chang RM. Native Hawaiian preferences formformed consent and disclosure of results from genetic research. J ance: Educ 2006;21(1 Suppl):S47-S52.
- 8 Tie K, Buckmire RA, Shah RN. The role of spirometry and dysp index in the management of subglottic stenosis aryngoscope 2020;130(12):2760-6.
- 9 Wang S, Zhang J, Lu X. Non-linear association of plas of highdensity lipoprotein cholesterol with end bronchial bio; y bleeding in
- patients with lung cancer. Lipids Health bis. 2019;18 (1):17. Ayers ML, Beamis JF Jr. Rigid bron bosco<sub>R</sub> in the overty-first century. 10. Clin Chest Med. 2001;22(2):355-64.
- 11. Puchalski J, Musani Al. Tracheobronchi. tenosis: causes and advances in management. Clin Chest 1M. 2013;34(3 .57-67
- 12. Lund ME, Garland R, Ern t A. A. ay stenting: applications and practice management concentrations. Chu . 2007;131(2):579-87.
- 13. Hautmann H, C marr. F, Pfeifer KJ, Huber RM. Fiberoptic bronchoscopic balloon dilatatic tracheobronchial disease: indications and results. Chest. 2001, 0(1):43-9.
- akrar R, Go ng AF, Shaefi S, Navani N. Interventional pulmo-14. Kalsi H nology: world. Thorac Surg Clin. 2020;30(3):321-38
- 15. Stephens K\_Jr, V ood DE. Bronchoscopic management of central airway obstructio LThorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2000;119(2):289-96.
- hta AC, Lee FY, Cordasco EM, Kirby T, Eliachar I, De Boer G. Concentric? heal and subglottic stenosis. Management using the Nd-YAG laser for mu losal sparing followed by gentle dilatation. Chest. 1993;104(3):673-7. Marchioni A, Andrisani D, Tonelli R, et al. Integrated interventional bronchoscopy in the treatment of locally advanced non-small lung cancer with central malignant airway obstructions: a multicentric retrospective study (EVERMORE). Lung Cancer. 2020;148:40-7.
- lyoda A, Azuma Y, Sano A, et al. Contributions of airway stent for long-18 term outcome in patients with malignant central airway stenosis or obstruction. J Bronchol Interv Pulmonol. 2021. https://doi.org/10.1097/ LBR.00000000000749.
- 19. Cavaliere S, Foccoli P, Farina PL. Nd:YAG laser bronchoscopy. A fiveyear experience with 1,396 applications in 1,000 patients. Chest. 1988;94(1):15-21.
- 20. Luo LZ, Luo L, Lu ZB, et al. The efficacy of balloon dilatation in clinical improving period for patients who suffered from actively caseating endobronchial tuberculosis and central airway stenosis. Zhonghua Jie He He Hu Xi Za Zhi. 2021;44(3):237-42. [Article in Chinese].
- 21. Ortiz-Comino RM, Morales A, López-Lisbona R, et al. Silicone stent versus fully covered metallic stent in malignant central airway stenosis. Ann Thorac Surg. 2021;111(1):283-9.

# **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

#### Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

#### At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

