RESEARCH Open Access

Association between body composition, sarcopenia and pulmonary function in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease

Nathalie Martínez-Luna¹, Arturo Orea-Tejeda¹, Dulce González-Islas^{1*}, Laura Flores-Cisneros², Candace Keirns-Davis¹, Rocío Sánchez-Santillán¹, Ilse Pérez-García¹, Yael Gastelum-Ayala¹, Valeria Martínez-Vázquez¹ and Óscar Martínez-Reyna¹

Abstract

Background: Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) is characterized by progressive and irreversible airflow limitation. Different factors that modify pulmonary function include age, sex, muscular strength, and a history of exposure to toxic agents. However, the impact of body composition compartments and sarcopenia on pulmonary function is not well-established. This study aimed to evaluate how body composition compartments and sarcopenia affect pulmonary function in COPD patients.

Methods: In a cross-sectional study, patients with a confirmed diagnosis of COPD, > 40 years old, and forced expiratory volume in the first second /forced vital capacity ratio (FEV₁/FVC) < 0.70 post-bronchodilator were included. Patients with cancer, HIV, and asthma were excluded. Body composition was measured with bioelectrical impedance. Sarcopenia was defined according to EWGSOP2, and pulmonary function was assessed by spirometry.

Results: 185 patients were studied. The mean age was 72.20 ± 8.39 years; 55.14% were men. A linear regression adjusted model showed associations between body mass index, fat-free mass, skeletal muscle mass index, appendicular skeletal muscle mass index, and phase angle (PhA), and sarcopenia with FEV₁ (%). As regards FVC (%), PhA and exercise tolerance had positive associations.

Conclusion: Body composition, especially PhA, SMMI, ASMMI, and sarcopenia, has a significant impact on pulmonary function. Early detection of disturbances of these indexes enables the early application of such therapeutic strategies in COPD patients.

Keywords: Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, Pulmonary function, Sarcopenia, Body composition, Skeletal muscle mass

Introduction

Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) is a treatable and avoidable illness characterized by persistent respiratory symptoms and progressive and irreversible airflow limitation [1]. According to the World Health Organization, COPD is considered a public health problem because globally it is the third leading cause of death [2]

Pulmonary function is modified by different factors, including age, sex, weeks of gestation, muscular strength, the immune system, and a history of exposure to toxic agents such as tobacco, wood smoke, and asbestos [3–5].

¹ Heart Failure and Respiratory Distress Clinic, Cardiology Service, Instituto Nacional de Enfermedades Respiratorias "Ismael Cosío Villegas", Calzada de Tlalpan 4502 Col Sec XVI CP, 14080 Del Tlalpan, Mexico City, Mexico Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2022. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

^{*}Correspondence: gzz.dulce@gmail.com

The pulmonary function can be estimated by spirometry. Spirometry reveals pulmonary dynamic volumes: forced expiratory volume in the first second (FEV₁), forced vital capacity (FVC), and the ratio of forced expiratory volume in the first second to forced vital capacity (FEV₁/FVC). Several studies have demonstrated that FEV₁ reduction is a significant predictor of mortality in the general population [6, 7] and a marker of cardiovascular mortality [8]. Therefore, it is necessary to know which factors affect it.

Patients with COPD have alterations in the body composition compartments of fat-free mass (FFM), appendicular skeletal muscle mass index (ASMMI), skeletal muscle mass index (SMMI), fat mass (FM), phase angle (PhA), and muscular function. Loss of muscle mass and muscular function have multifactorial origins. The factors involved include oxidative stress, hypoxia, disuse, malnutrition, a higher catabolic state, and glucocorticoid use [9]. The prevalence of muscle wasting ranges from 15 to 40% in patients with COPD [10, 11]. Weight and muscle mass loss are associated with diminished muscle strength, walking speed, exercise tolerance, pulmonary alterations, and worse prognosis in COPD patients [10, 12–14].

Previous studies in other populations have shown that reduced skeletal muscle mass is associated with lower pulmonary function [15, 16]. Moreover, the strength of the respiratory muscles impacts pulmonary function [17]. In COPD patients, upper limb muscle strength has been positively associated with the FEV₁/FVC ratio and respiratory muscle strength [5]. However, the role of the fat-free mass index (FFMI) is unclear. Maddocks et al. found no difference in predicted FEV₁% between subjects with low and normal FFMI. On the other hand, Machado et al. showed that subjects with low FFMI had lower predicted FEV₁% [18]. No evidence has been reported about the impact of SMMI or ASMMI on pulmonary function.

Sarcopenia is a progressive and generalized skeletal muscle disorder characterized by low muscle strength and low muscle mass that can lead to falls, fractures, physical disability, and mortality [10, 19]. The loss of muscle mass and sarcopenia have multifactorial origins, including mitochondrial abnormalities, diminished protein synthesis, diminished intake of essential amino acids for protein synthesis, hypoxemia which interferes with protein synthesis, and increased proteolysis due to a pro-inflammatory state. In addition, glucocorticoid use, which promotes proteolysis and acidosis, is common in COPD patients. Combined with these factors, physical inactivity promotes muscle atrophy [20]. A meta-analysis performed by Benz et al. estimates that the prevalence of sarcopenia in COPD patients is 21.6% (95% CI; 14.6

to 30.9%) [21]. In COPD, sarcopenia patients have lower FEV₁ than patients without sarcopenia [22].

Phase angle (PhA) is an important body composition variable of bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA). A low PhA suggests lower cellularity, membrane integrity, cellular function, malnutrition, status, impaired quality of life, and worse prognosis [14, 22, 23]. In COPD patients, this manifests as reduced muscle strength [14, 22] Maddocks et al. showed that COPD patients with a PhA below of fifth percentile of age, sex and BMI-stratified reference values had low predicted FEV₁% and lower physical activity than COPD patients with normal PhA [13].

However, the association between body composition compartments and sarcopenia with pulmonary function in COPD patients is not well-established. Thus, the objective of this study was to evaluate how body composition compartments and sarcopenia may affect pulmonary function in COPD patients.

Materials and methods

A cross-sectional study was performed. The data were obtained during outpatient evaluations carried out during routine consultations of patients of Mexican origin with COPD between August 1, 2019, and March 31, 2020.

Patients with a confirmed diagnosis of COPD according to the Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD) recommendations [24] were included. The subjects were > 40 years old, and spirometry with a post-bronchodilator FEV₁/FVC ratio < 0.70. Patients with diagnoses of cancer, HIV, and asthma were excluded.

Outcome measures

Body composition, anthropometry, pulmonary function, clinical and demographic variables were evaluated as part of the clinical management provided to the patients who came to the Institute.

Anthropometry

Weight and height were measured according to the manual reference of anthropometric standardization [25]. All subjects wore light clothing and were barefoot. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated by dividing the total body weight (kilograms) by the height in meters squared.

Body composition

Body composition and phase angle (PhA) were measured with whole-body bioelectrical impedance using a four-pole mono-frequency equipment RJL Quantum IV analyzer (RJL Systems®, Clinton Township, MI, USA). Phase angle was calculated using the equation: arctan (Reactance/Resistance) x (180° $/\pi$) using the PhA Software (RJL Systems®). The standard technique [26] was used. The measurements were all performed by the same

operator, in the morning, in a comfortable area, free of drafts, and with portable electric heaters. The subjects were fasting and should not have exercised eight hours before or consumed alcohol 12 h before the study. During the entire study, the person was supine with arms separated from the trunk at about 30° and legs separated at about 45°.

The area was cleaned with alcohol, and electrodes were placed on the hand and ipsilateral foot. Resistance and reactance were registered, and PhA. Fat-free mass (FFM) and fat mass were estimated by RJL Systems' software BC 4.2.2. Appendicular skeletal muscle mass index (ASMMI) was assessed according to Sergi's formula (27): ASMMI $(Kg/m^2) = [-3.964 + (0.227*(Height^2 (cm)/Resistance) + (0.095*Weight) + (1.384*Sex) + (0.064*Reactance) / Height <math>(m^2)$].

Skeletal muscle mass index (SMMI) was assessed according Janssen's formula (28): SMM (kg)=[(Height^2 cm/Resistance \times 401) + (gender \times 3.825) + (age x-0.071)+5.102], and SMMI (kg/m²)=SMMI /Height (m²).

Handgrip strength

Handgrip strength was measured with a mechanical Smedley Hand Dynamometer (Stoelting, Wood Dale, UK) according to the technique described in Rodriguez et al. [29].

Sarcopenia

Sarcopenia was defined according to EWGSOP2 [19] in men as ASMMI $< 7~kg/m^2$ and handgrip strength < 27~kg and in women as ASMMI $< 6~kg/m^2$ and handgrip strength < 16~kg.

Exercise tolerance

Exercise tolerance was assessed by a 6-min walk, performed according to American Thoracic Society standards [30].

Pulmonary function

Spirometry testing was conducted by an experienced pulmonary technician using a portable spirometer (Easy-OnePC, Ndd Medical Technologies Inc., Zürich, Switzerland) according to the criteria of the American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society standards [31]. The spirometry variables analyzed were FEV₁, and FVC after using a bronchodilator. After 15 min resting, a maximum forced inhalation and a powerful forced expiration were performed by the participant wearing a nose clip. The reference values used for spirometry were obtained in Mexican–American individuals [32].

Statistical analysis

Analyses were performed using the commercially available package STATA version 14 (Stata Corp., College Station, TX, U.S.A.). The Shapiro–Wilk test was used to test the normality of continuous variables. Normal continuous variables were expressed as mean and standard deviation, while non-normal variables were expressed as median and percentiles 25–75. A comparison among study groups was analyzed with a chi-square test for categorical variables and unpaired Student's t-test or Wilcoxon tests for continuous variables.

Linear regression analysis was performed to examine the association between the dependent variable: pulmonary function (predicted FEV $_{\rm I}$ % and predicted FVC %) and independent variables: body composition compartments, handgrip strength, exercise tolerance, and sarcopenia. The models were adjusted for sex, height, and age. A *p-value* < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

One hundred eighty-five patients with COPD were evaluated, of whom 78 (42%) had sarcopenia. The mean population age was 72.20 ± 8.39 years; 55.14% were men, 50.27% had systemic hypertension, 29.19% were obese, and 51.80% were in heart failure.

COPD patients with sarcopenia were older, with a lower prevalence of obesity, low FEV_1 (L), FVC (L), BMI, FM, FFM, handgrip strength, middle-upper arm circumference, SMMI, ASMMI, abdominal obesity, PhA, and exercise tolerance compared to those COPD patients without sarcopenia (Table 1).

Table 2 shows the linear regression results adjusted for age, sex, and height, in which the variables associated with FEV₁ and FVC are presented. BMI (β :0.670, 95% CI; 0.181–1.159), FFM (β :0.648, 95% CI;0.217–1.079), middle-upper arm circumference, SMMI, ASMMI, PhA, and exercise tolerance were positively associated with FEV₁. Sarcopenia, however, had a negative association with FEV₁. As regards the FVC, PhA and exercise tolerance showed positive associations (β :0.064, 95% CI; 0.036–0.093 for exercise tolerance).

Discussion

The main finding in our study was that FEV_1 had a positive correlation with SMMI, ASMMI, BMI, FFM, PhA, middle-upper arm circumference, and exercise tolerance, while sarcopenia had a negative impact. As far as FVC was concerned, PhA and exercise tolerance showed positive associations.

Muscle mass is the largest tissue in the human body [33]. It can also be an independent prognostic factor for daily disability, mobility, and mortality in COPD

Table 1 Clinical and body composition characteristic in sarcopenia subjects

	Total population n = 185	Sarcopenia n = 78	No sarcopenia n $=$ 107	p-value
Age, years	72.20 ± 8.39	74.56 ± 7.60	70.48 ± 8.55	0.001
Male, n (%)	102 (55.14)	45 (57.69)	57 (53.27)	0.550
Diabetes, n (%)	44 (23.78)	17 (21.79)	27 (25.23)	0.587
Hypertension, n (%)	93 (50.27)	38 (48.72)	55 (51.40)	0.718
Obesity, n (%)	54(29.19)	6 (7.69)	48 (44.86)	< 0.001
Heart failure, n (%)	72 (51.80)	34 (58.62)	38 (46.91)	0.173
FEV ₁ , (%)	55.64 ± 22.64	53.30 ± 24.87	57.34 ± 20.82	0.230
FEV ₁ , (L)	1.22 ± 0.58	1.09 ± 0.56	1.31 ± 0.57	0.009
FVC, (%)	74.92 ± 19.40	73.15 ± 21.06	76.21 ± 18.08	0.290
FVC (L)	2.29 ± 0.81	2.13 ± 0.78	2.41 ± 0.81	0.020
FEV ₁ /FVC	0.53 ± 0.13	0.50 ± 0.15	0.54 ± 0.12	0.081
GOLD stage, n (%)				
1–2	105 (56.76)	43 (55.13)	62 (57.94)	
3–4	80 (43.24)	35 (44.87)	45 (42.06)	0.703
CAT score	12 [7–18]	12 [9–20]	11 [6–16]	0.080
Group, n (%)				
A–B	94 (53.41)	35 (47.95)	59 (57.28)	0.221
C–D	82 (46.59)	38 (52.05)	44 (42.72)	
Hospitalization previous year, n (%)	81 (46.82)	39 (54.17)	42 (41.58)	0.102
Tobacco index, pack-year	40 [25–60]	40 [20–58]	43 [30–61.5]	0.164
Biomass index, h/yr	213 [77.5–360]	240 [80-385]	180 [51–360]	0.584
Inhaled bronchodilators, n (%)	144 (78.26)	64 (82.05)	80 (75.47)	0.285
Inhaled corticosteroids, n (%)	117 (66.30)	52 (66.67)	70 (66.04)	0.929
Height, cm ²	158.00 ± 11.39	156.31 ± 12.35	159.23 ± 10.52	0.085
Body Mass Index, kg/m ²	27.26 ± 5.70	24.05 ± 4.50	29.61 ± 5.34	< 0.001
Fat Mass, kg	30.39 ± 10.03	27.51 ± 8.22	32.44 ± 10.71	< 0.001
Fat Free Mass, kg	37.79 ± 12.05	30.92 ± 8.91	42.70 ± 11.63	< 0.001
Handgrip strength, kg	23.72 ± 8.47	20.37 ± 7.76	26.22 ± 8.15	< 0.001
Middle-upper arm circumference, cm	28.22 ± 4.25	25.92 ± 3.84	29.93 ± 3.71	< 0.001
SMMI, kg/m ²	8.31 ± 1.85	7.43 ± 1.44	8.94 ± 1.86	< 0.001
ASMMI, kg/m ²	6.79 ± 1.14	6.03 ± 0.74	7.35 ± 1.07	< 0.001
Abdominal obesity, n (%)	140 (76.92)	45 (58.44)	95 (90.48)	< 0.001
Phase angle, °	4.99 ± 0.88	4.57 ± 0.54	5.30 ± 0.95	< 0.001
Exercise tolerance, m	324.34 ± 131.97	267.24 ± 128.12	388.58 ± 104.88	< 0.001

FEV₁, Forced Expiratory Volume in the first second; FVC Forced Vital Capacity; GOLD stage Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease stage; CAT COPD Assessment Test; SMMI Skeletal muscle mass index; ASMMI Appendicular Skeletal muscle mass index

patients [10, 12, 34]. Our study showed positive associations between FEV₁, and ASMMI (β : 4.896, 95% CI; 1.982 to 7.810, p=0.001) and SMMI (β : 2.876, 95% CI; 0.534 to 5.218, p=0.016). That is, for every 1 kg/m² of ASMMI that increased, there was an increase of 4.89% in FEV₁, and for every 1 k/m² of SMMI, FEV₁ increased 2.87%. Previous studies have also reported that subjects with low muscle mass have worse pulmonary function. A study performed by Jeon et al. in elderly Koreans found that subjects with low FEV₁ were at higher risk for decreased muscle mass [15]. Also, a study performed by Park et al. in subjects without pulmonary disease showed

that lower muscle mass was associated with a higher risk of $\text{FEV}_1 < 80\%$ (OR: 2.97, CI 95% 2.74 to 3.17) and FVC < 80% (OR: 2.64, CI 95%; 2.43 to 2.83) [16].

On the other hand, in the present study, a higher BMI was also positively associated with FEV₁. Currently, a controversy exists about the impact of obesity evaluated by BMI on pulmonary function and prognostic patients, which has been called the paradox of obesity [35, 36]. There is evidence that a higher BMI improves pulmonary function [36, 37]. A meta-analysis performed on COPD patients from clinical trials observed that overweight-obesity and morbid obesity subjects

Table 2 Association between body composition, sarcopenia and pulmonary function

	FEV ₁ (%)			FVC (%)		
	β	CI 95%	р	β	CI 95%	р
Body Mass Index, kg/m ²	0.670	0.181 to 1.1590	0.007	0.061	- 0.377 to 0.500	0.783
Fat Mass, kg	0.027	- 0.294 to 0.349	0.868	-0.129	-0.421 to 0.162	0.383
Fat Free Mass, kg	0.648	0.217 to 1.079	0.003	0.233	- 0.157 to 0.624	0.241
Handgrip strength, kg	0.037	- 0.566 to 0.641	0.902	0.448	-0.076 to 0.974	0.094
Upper extremity weakness	3.078	- 3.959 to 10.116	0.389	- 3.687	- 10.196 to 2.821	0.265
Middle-upper arm circumference, cm	0.884	0.205 to 1.564	0.011	0.227	- 0.379 to 0.833	0.461
SMMI, kg/m ²	2.876	0.534 to 5.218	0.016	0.894	- 1.212 to 3.001	0.403
ASMMI, kg/m ²	4.896	1.982 to 7.810	0.001	1.388	- 1.228 to 4.00	0.297
Abdominal obesity	5.902	- 1.059 to 12.864	0.096	- 0.175	- 6.350 to 5.999	0.955
Phase angle, °	5.745	2.596 to 8.895	< 0.001	3.871	1.077 to 6.665	0.007
Sarcopenia	-6.992	-13.722 to -0.261	0.042	- 5.090	- 11.007 to 0.826	0.091
Exercise tolerance, m	0.057	0.024 to 0.090	0.001	0.064	0.036 to 0.093	< 0.001

Linear regression model adjusted by age, sex and height. SMMI Skeletal muscle mass index; ASMMI Appendicular Skeletal muscle mass index

evaluated by BMI had minor decreases in FEV $_1$ per year compared to normal weight and underweight subjects [37]. However, evidence shows the deleterious effects of obesity on pulmonary function [38–40]. While a study by Ochs-Balcom et al. found a negative association between BMI > 25 kg/m 2 with both FEV $_1$ and FVC, a study by Peralta et al. reported that increased weight in overweight and obese subjects was associated with a reduction only in FVC. However, no association was observed with the FEV $_1$ /FVC ratio [39]. Similar results have been reported in other studies [41, 42].

Nevertheless, it is essential to bear in mind that BMI is the quotient of body weight in kg and height in m², but BMI cannot distinguish the two most significant components of body composition: FM and FFM. Our study observed that FFM positively impacted FEV₁, while no such association was observed with fat mass when evaluating these two components. These results suggest that the FFM, specifically SMMI or ASMMI, plays an essential role in pulmonary function. Won et al. likewise observed that SMMI was associated with FEV₁ and FVC [43]. Apart from FFM, SMMI and ASMMI correlate with prognosis [10–12].

In this study PhA proved to be a predictor for FEV₁ (β : 5.745, CI 95%; 2.596 to 8.895, p < 0.001) and FVC (β : 3.871, CI 95%; 1.077 to 6.665, p = 0.007) adjusted for age, sex and height. That is, for every 1 degree that PhA increases, there is an increment of 5.74% for FEV₁ and 3.87% for FVC.

A lower PhA has been associated with reduced FEV_1 , muscle strength, exercise tolerance, diminished quality of life, prolonged hospitalization, and exacerbations [13], as well as being an independent predictor of death

(HR: 0.53, CI 95%; 0.36–0.77 p < 0.001) in COPD subjects [14].

It is noteworthy that muscle strength is a significant predictor of mortality [12]. Although an association between diminished handgrip strength and pulmonary function was not observed in the present study, it was found in other studies. Liu et al. reported a positive correlation between the strength of upper limb muscles and inspiratory and expiratory respiratory muscles and the FEV₁/FVC ratio [5]. Likewise, subjects hospitalized because of exacerbations of COPD showed a positive association between handgrip strength and effective peak inspiratory flow rate determined by the inspiratory muscle force [44].

As regards sarcopenia, the prevalence in COPD patients is 22% [21], representing an increase according to the GOLD [20, 22]. The present study demonstrated that sarcopenia was an independent predictor for FEV_1 since subjects had 6.992% lower FEV_1 than those without sarcopenia (β : -6.992, 95% CI; -13.722 to -0.261, p<0.042) adjusted for age, sex, and height. Different studies have shown that sarcopenia, muscle depletion, and low muscle strength are associated with deteriorating lung function, higher inflammatory biomarkers, poor quality of life, and worse prognosis [10, 19, 20, 45].

When adipose tissue distribution is examined in the context of obesity, abdominal obesity plays an important role in pulmonary function. A study in subjects over 50 years of age showed that those with abdominal obesity had decreased pulmonary function. Moreover, pulmonary function was even lower in those with generalized obesity [42]. In a meta-analysis Wehrmeister et al. found similar results. They concluded that an inverse

association exists between pulmonary function and abdominal circumference [41], which is a good indicator of abdominal obesity. This could be explained by the fact that obesity causes dysfunction in small airways and limitation of expiratory flow as well as mechanical respiratory changes, restricted movement of the thoracic cage, decreased strength of the respiratory muscles, gas exchange, and reduced tolerance to exercise due to excess adipose tissue around the rib cage and abdomen [46]. The present study did not establish an association between abdominal obesity and pulmonary function, but this may be explained by the population's higher prevalence of sarcopenia.

In addition, obesity, especially central obesity, is associated with an increase in inflammatory factors such as interleukin 6 and tumor necrosis α [47], which negatively impact pulmonary function and increase morbidity and mortality [48]. That is why it is essential to distinguish among body composition compartments–FM, FFM, ASMMI, SMMI, and PhA which have different impacts on pulmonary function and prognosis, both in healthy subjects and in COPD patients.

The clinical picture is further complicated by comorbidities such as hypertension, diabetes, and heart failure, which are frequent in COPD [49]. These pathologies are independent risk factors for sarcopenia [49, 50]. The presence of one or more comorbidities leads to more significant loss of skeletal muscle mass, physical performance, and worse prognosis [51]. This low skeletal muscle mass had a negative impact on pulmonary function [15, 16].

Limitations and strengths

This study has the inherent limitations of a cross-sectional study. Another limitation is the small sample size. However, among the strengths, this is the first study in COPD patients that evaluates the association of different compartments of body composition on pulmonary function using a multivariate prediction model adjusted for confounding variables.

Conclusions

The components of body composition, especially PhA, SMMI, ASMMI, and sarcopenia, have significant impacts on pulmonary function. Early detection of disturbances in these indexes and muscle wasting enables the early application of such therapeutic strategies as physical and pulmonary rehabilitation, and nutritional treatment may improve pulmonary function in COPD patients.

Abbreviations

ASMMI: Appendicular skeletal muscle mass index; BIA: Bioelectrical impedance analysis; BMI: Body Mass Index; COPD: Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease;

FM: Fat mass; FEV₁: Forced expiratory volume in first second; FEV₁/FVC: Forced expiratory volume in first second/Forced vital capacity; FFM: Fat-free mass; FVC: Forced vital capacity; GOLD: Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease; PhA: Phase angle; SMMI: Skeletal muscle mass index.

Acknowledgements

To the Cardiology Service team.

Authors' contributions

Conceptualization: ML.N., Gl.D, OT.A. Data curation: ML.N. Gl.D., FC.L., SS.R., MV.V. Formal analysis: Gl.D., FC.L. Investigation: KD.C., SS.R., PG.I., MV.V., MR.O., MR.O. Methodology: Gl.D., FC.L., OT. A., KD.C., PG.I., GA.Y. Project administration: Gl.D, OT. A., ML.N., KD.C., GA.Y. Writing—original draft: All authors. Writing—review and editing: All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

The authors declare that there is no funding in the present study.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets generated and/or analyzed during the current study are not publicly available due to the fact that individual privacy could be compromised but are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Institutional Ethics and Research Committee of Biomedical Research in Humans (approval number E-02-18), and all participants signed informed consent.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹Heart Failure and Respiratory Distress Clinic, Cardiology Service, Instituto Nacional de Enfermedades Respiratorias "Ismael Cosío Villegas", Calzada de Tlalpan 4502 Col Sec XVI CP, 14080 Del Tlalpan, Mexico City, Mexico. ²Department of Clinical Research, Instituto Nacional de Cancerología, Mexico City, Mexico.

Received: 21 January 2022 Accepted: 21 March 2022 Published online: 26 March 2022

References

- Mirza S, Clay RD, Koslow MA, Scanlon PD. COPD Guidelines: a review of the 2018 GOLD Report. Mayo Clin Proc. 2018;93(10):1488–502.
- Organization WH. Top 10 Causes of Death in 2016 2018 2019. Available from: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/the-top-10-causes-of-death.
- 3. Sharma G, Goodwin J. Effect of aging on respiratory system physiology and immunology. Clin Interv Aging. 2006;1(3):253–60.
- Suzuki M, Makita H, Ito YM, Nagai K, Konno S, Nishimura M, et al. Clinical features and determinants of COPD exacerbation in the Hokkaido COPD cohort study. Eur Respir J. 2014;43(5):1289–97.
- Liu X, Li P, Wang Z, Lu Y, Li N, Xiao L, et al. Evaluation of isokinetic muscle strength of upper limb and the relationship with pulmonary function and respiratory muscle strength in stable COPD patients. Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis. 2019;14:2027–36.
- Schünemann HJ, Dorn J, Grant BJ, Winkelstein W Jr, Trevisan M. Pulmonary function is a long-term predictor of mortality in the general population: 29-year follow-up of the Buffalo Health Study. Chest. 2000:118(3):656–64
- Ji Z, de Miguel-Diez J, Castro-Riera CR, Bellon-Cano JM, Gallo-Gonzalez V, Giron-Matute WI, et al. Differences in the outcome of patients with

- COPD according to Body Mass Index. Journal of clinical medicine. 2020:9(3).
- 8. Sin DD, Anthonisen NR, Soriano JB, Agusti AG. Mortality in COPD: role of comorbidities. Eur Respir J. 2006;28(6):1245–57.
- Langen RC, Gosker HR, Remels AH, Schols AM. Triggers and mechanisms of skeletal muscle wasting in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Int J Biochem Cell Biol. 2013;45(10):2245–56.
- Schols AM, Broekhuizen R, Weling-Scheepers CA, Wouters EF. Body composition and mortality in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Am J Clin Nutr. 2005;82(1):53–9.
- Vestbo J, Prescott E, Almdal T, Dahl M, Nordestgaard BG, Andersen T, et al. Body mass, fat-free body mass, and prognosis in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease from a random population sample: findings from the Copenhagen City Heart Study. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2006;173(1):79–83.
- Swallow EB, Reyes D, Hopkinson NS, Man WD, Porcher R, Cetti EJ, et al. Quadriceps strength predicts mortality in patients with moderate to severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Thorax. 2007;62(2):115–20.
- Maddocks M, Kon SS, Jones SE, Canavan JL, Nolan CM, Higginson IJ, et al. Bioelectrical impedance phase angle relates to function, disease severity and prognosis in stable chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Clin Nutr. 2015;34(6):1245–50.
- de Blasio F, Scalfi L, Di Gregorio A, Alicante P, Bianco A, Tantucci C, et al. Raw bioelectrical impedance analysis variables are independent predictors of early all-cause mortality in patients with COPD. Chest. 2019;155(6):1148–57.
- Jeon YK, Shin MJ, Kim MH, Mok JH, Kim SS, Kim BH, et al. Low pulmonary function is related with a high risk of sarcopenia in community-dwelling older adults: the Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES) 2008–2011. Osteoporosis Int. 2015;26(10):2423–9.
- Park CH, Yi Y, Do JG, Lee YT, Yoon KJ. Relationship between skeletal muscle mass and lung function in Korean adults without clinically apparent lung disease. Medicine. 2018;97(37):e12281.
- Bahat G, Tufan A, Ozkaya H, Tufan F, Akpinar TS, Akin S, et al. Relation between hand grip strength, respiratory muscle strength and spirometric measures in male nursing home residents. Aging Male. 2014;17(3):136–40.
- Machado FVC, Spruit MA, Groenen MTJ, Houben-Wilke S, van Melick PP, Hernandes NA, et al. Frequency and functional translation of low muscle mass in overweight and obese patients with COPD. Respir Res. 2021;22(1):93.
- Cruz-Jentoft AJ, Bahat G, Bauer J, Boirie Y, Bruyere O, Cederholm T, et al. Sarcopenia: revised European consensus on definition and diagnosis. Age Ageing. 2019;48(4):601.
- Jones SE, Maddocks M, Kon SS, Canavan JL, Nolan CM, Clark AL, et al. Sarcopenia in COPD: prevalence, clinical correlates and response to pulmonary rehabilitation. Thorax. 2015;70(3):213–8.
- Benz E, Trajanoska K, Lahousse L, Schoufour JD, Terzikhan N, De Roos E, et al. Sarcopenia in COPD: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur Respir Rev. 2019;28:154.
- de Blasio F, Di Gregorio A, de Blasio F, Bianco A, Bellofiore B, Scalfi L. Malnutrition and sarcopenia assessment in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease according to international diagnostic criteria, and evaluation of raw BIA variables. Respir Med. 2018;134:1–5.
- Norman K, Wirth R, Neubauer M, Eckardt R, Stobäus N. The bioimpedance phase angle predicts low muscle strength, impaired quality of life, and increased mortality in old patients with cancer. Journal of the American Medical Directors Association. 2015;16(2):173. e17–e22 %@ 1525–8610.
- Vogelmeier CF, Criner GJ, Martinez FJ, Anzueto A, Barnes PJ, Bourbeau J, et al. Global strategy for the diagnosis, management and prevention of chronic obstructive lung disease 2017 report: GOLD executive summary. Respirology. 2017;22(3):575–601.
- 25. Lohman TG, Roche AF, Martorell R. Anthropometric standardization reference manual: Human kinetics books Champaign; 1988.
- Lukaski HC, Johnson PE, Bolonchuk WW, Lykken GI. Assessment of fatfree mass using bioelectrical impedance measurements of the human body. Am J Clin Nutr. 1985;41(4):810–7.

- Sergi G, De Rui M, Veronese N, Bolzetta F, Berton L, Carraro S, et al. Assessing appendicular skeletal muscle mass with bioelectrical impedance analysis in free-living Caucasian older adults. Clin Nutr. 2015;34(4):667–73.
- Janssen I, Heymsfield SB, Baumgartner RN, Ross R. Estimation of skeletal muscle mass by bioelectrical impedance analysis. J Appl Physiol. 2000:89(2):465–71.
- Rodríguez-García WD, García-Castañeda L, Orea-Tejeda A, Mendoza-Núñez V, González-Islas DG, Santillán-Díaz C, et al. Handgrip strength: Reference values and its relationship with bioimpedance and anthropometric variables. Clin Nutr ESPEN. 2017;19:54–8.
- 30. ATS statement: guidelines for the six-minute walk test. American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine. 2002;166(1):111–7.
- 31. Miller MR, Hankinson J, Brusasco V, Burgos F, Casaburi R, Coates A, et al. Standardisation of spirometry. Eur Respir J. 2005;26(2):319–38.
- Hankinson JL, Odencrantz JR, Fedan KB. Spirometric reference values from a sample of the general U.S. population. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 1999;159(1):179–87.
- 33. Snyder W, Cook M, Nasset E, Karhausen L, Howells GP, Tipton I. Report of the task group on reference man: Pergamon Oxford; 1975.
- 34. Janssen I, Baumgartner RN, Ross R, Rosenberg IH, Roubenoff R. Skeletal muscle cutpoints associated with elevated physical disability risk in older men and women. Am J Epidemiol. 2004;159(4):413–21.
- 35. Yamauchi Y, Hasegawa W, Yasunaga H, Sunohara M, Jo T, Takami K, et al. Paradoxical association between body mass index and in-hospital mortality in elderly patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in Japan. Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis. 2014;9:1337–46.
- Wu Z, Yang D, Ge Z, Yan M, Wu N, Liu Y. Body mass index of patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease is associated with pulmonary function and exacerbations: a retrospective real world research. J Thorac Dis. 2018;10(8):5086–99.
- 37. Sun Y, Milne S, Jaw JE, Yang CX, Xu F, Li X, et al. BMI is associated with FEV decline in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a meta-analysis of clinical trials. Respir Res. 2019;20(1):236.
- 38. Lazarus R, Sparrow D, Weiss ST. Effects of obesity and fat distribution on ventilatory function: the normative aging study. Chest. 1997;111(4):891–8.
- 39. Ochs-Balcom HM, Grant BJ, Muti P, Sempos CT, Freudenheim JL, Trevisan M, et al. Pulmonary function and abdominal adiposity in the general population. Chest. 2006;129(4):853–62.
- Kwack WG, Kang Y-S, Jeong YJ, Oh JY, Cha YK, Kim JS, et al. Association between thoracic fat measured using computed tomography and lung function in a population without respiratory diseases. J Thorac Dis. 2019;11(12):5300.
- 41. Wehrmeister FC, Menezes AM, Muniz LC, Martinez-Mesa J, Domingues MR, Horta BL. Waist circumference and pulmonary function: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Syst Rev. 2012;1:55.
- 42. Pan J, Xu L, Lam TH, Jiang CQ, Zhang WS, Jin YL, et al. Association of adiposity with pulmonary function in older Chinese: Guangzhou Biobank Cohort Study. Respir Med. 2017;132:102–8.
- Won YH, Cho YS, Kim DH, Joo SY, Seo CH. relation between low pulmonary function and skeletal muscle index in burn patients with major burn injury and smoke inhalation: a retrospective study. J Burn Care Res. 2020;41(3):695–9.
- Samarghandi A, loachimescu OC, Qayyum R. Association between peak inspiratory flow rate and hand grip muscle strength in hospitalized patients with acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. PLoS ONE. 2020;15(1):e0227737.
- Byun MK, Cho EN, Chang J, Ahn CM, Kim HJ. Sarcopenia correlates with systemic inflammation in COPD. Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis. 2017:12:669–75.
- 46. Salome CM, King GG, Berend N. Physiology of obesity and effects on lung function. J Appl Physiol. 2010;108(1):206–11.
- 47. Kern PA, Ranganathan S, Li C, Wood L, Ranganathan G. Adipose tissue tumor necrosis factor and interleukin-6 expression in human obesity and insulin resistance. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab. 2001;280(5):E745–51.
- 48. Lavie CJ, Milani RV. Obesity and cardiovascular disease: The hippocrates paradox? J Am Coll Cardiol. 2003;42(4):677–9.

- Pacifico J, Geerlings MAJ, Reijnierse EM, Phassouliotis C, Lim WK, Maier AB. Prevalence of sarcopenia as a comorbid disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Exp Gerontol. 2020;131:110801.
- Dodds RM, Granic A, Robinson SM, Sayer AA. Sarcopenia, long-term conditions, and multimorbidity: findings from UK Biobank participants. J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle. 2020;11(1):62–8.
- Gong G, Wan W, Zhang X, Liu Y, Liu X, Yin J. Correlation between the Charlson comorbidity index and skeletal muscle mass/physical performance in hospitalized older people potentially suffering from sarcopenia. BMC Geriatr. 2019;19(1):367.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

